arXiv:1410.0349v1 [math.AP] 1 Oct 2014

DETERMINISTIC HOMOGENIZATION OF UNSTEADY NAVIER-STOKES TYPE EQUATIONS

LAZARUS SIGNING

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the deterministic homogenization problems for unsteady Navier-Stokes type equations, on one hand in an open set Ω of $\mathbb{R}^N$, on the other hand in porous media Ω^ε. In the second case, the equations are classical unsteady Navier-Stokes one, and the porous media are periodic.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the homogenization of unsteady Navier-Stokes type equations in two distinct settings. In the first setting, the equations are considered in a fixed bounded open set in the N-dimensional numerical space and moreover the usual Laplace operator involved in the classical Navier-Stokes equations is replaced by an elliptic linear differential operator of order two, in divergence form, with spatially varying coefficients. In the second setting, the equations are the classical unsteady Navier-Stokes one and are considered in periodic porous media. Precisely, we investigate the following problems:

Problem I. Let Ω be a smooth bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^N_x$ (the N-dimensional numerical space of variable $x = (x_1, ..., x_N)$), and let T and ε be real numbers with $T > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. We consider the partial differential operator

$$P^\varepsilon = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(a_{ij}^\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)$$

in $\Omega\times]0,T[$, where $a_{ij}^\varepsilon(x) = a_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ $(x \in \Omega)$, $a_{ij} \in L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y;\mathbb{R}\right)$ $(1 \le i,j \le N)$ with

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji}, \tag{1.1}$$

and the assumption that there is a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(y)\,\zeta_j\zeta_i \ge \alpha\,|\zeta|^2 \text{ for all } \zeta = \left(\zeta_j\right) \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and} \tag{1.2}$$

for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\mathbb{R}^N_y$ being the N-demensional numerical space $\mathbb{R}^N$ of variables $y = (y_1, ..., y_N)$ and $|\cdot|$ denoting the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The operator P^ε acts on scalar functions, say $\varphi \in L^2\left(0,T;H^1(\Omega)\right)$. However, we may as well view P^ε as acting on vector functions $\mathbf{u} = \left(u^i\right) \in L^2\left(0,T;H^1(\Omega)^N\right)$ in a *diagonal way*, i.e.,

$$\left(P^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}\right)^i = P^\varepsilon u^i \qquad (i = 1, ..., N).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B27, 35B40, 73B27, 76D30.

Key words and phrases. Deterministic homogenization, sigma-convergence, Navier-Stokes equations, porous media.

For any Roman character such as i, j (with $1 \leq i, j \leq N$), u^i (resp. u^j) denotes the i-th (resp. j-th) component of a vector function $\mathbf{u}$ in $L^1_{loc}(\Omega\times]0,T[)^N$ or in $L^1_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)^N$ where $\mathbb{R}_\tau$ is the numerical space $\mathbb{R}$ of variables τ. Further, for any real $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we define u^ε as

$$u^\varepsilon(x,t) = u\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \qquad ((x,t) \in \Omega\times]0,T[)$$

for $u \in L^1_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$, as is customary in homogenization theory. More generally, for $u \in L^1_{loc}\left(Q \times \mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$ with $Q = \Omega\times]0,T[$, it is customary to put

$$u^\varepsilon(x,t) = u\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \qquad ((x,t) \in \Omega\times]0,T[)$$

whenever the right-hand side makes sense (see, e.g., [15]).

After these preliminaries, let $\mathbf{f} = \left(f^i\right) \in L^2\left(0,T; H^{-1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N\right)$. For any fixed $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we consider the initial boundary value problem

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} + P^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \sum_{j=1}^N u^j_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} + \mathbf{grad} p_\varepsilon = \mathbf{f} \text{ in } \Omega\times]0,T[, \tag{1.3}$$

$$div \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\times]0,T[, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\times]0,T[, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \tag{1.6}$$

where $\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} = \left(\frac{\partial u^1}{\partial x_j}, ..., \frac{\partial u^N}{\partial x_j}\right)$. As in [31], for $N = 2$ (1.3)-(1.6) uniquely define $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ with $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{W}(0,T)$ and $p_\varepsilon \in L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$, where

$$\mathcal{W}(0,T) = \left\{\mathbf{u} \in L^2(0,T;V) : \mathbf{u}' \in L^2(0,T;V')\right\}$$

V being the space of functions $\mathbf{u}$ in $H^1_0(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$ with $div\mathbf{u} = 0$ (V' is the topological dual of V), and where

$$L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R} = \left\{v \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}) : \int_\Omega v dx = 0\right\}.$$

Let us recall that $\mathcal{W}(0,T)$ is provided with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{W}(0,T)} = \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V)} + \|\mathbf{u}'\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V')}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{W}(0,T)),$$

which makes it a Hilbert space with the following properties (see [31]): $\mathcal{W}(0,T)$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{C}\left([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)^N\right)$ and is compactly embedded in $L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega)^N\right)$.

Our aim here is to investigate the asymptotic behavior, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, of $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ under an abstract assumption on a_{ij} $(1 \leq i, j \leq N)$ covering a wide range of concrete behaviours beyond the classical periodicity hypothesis. The latter states that $a_{ij}(y+k) = a_{ij}(y)$ for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$($\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the integers). The study of this problem turns out to be of benefit to the modelling of heterogeneous fluid flows, in particular multi-phase flows, fluids with spatially

varying viscosities, and others. The linear version of this problem (i.e., the homogenization of (1.3)-(1.6) without the term $\sum_{j=1}^{N} u_\varepsilon^j \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j}$) has been studied by the author [27] under the periodicity hypothesis on the coefficients a_{ij} via the two-scale convergence techniques. We mention also the paper by Choe and Kim [5] dealing with that linear version by the well known asymptotic expansion combined with Tartar's energy method. Further, the steady version was first investigated in [22] by the *sigma-convergence* method. This paper deals with a more complicated situation where the equations are non-stationary and non-linear, and the estimates of the pressure and the acceleration become a laborious issue as it is shown in the proof of Proposition 2.1. As far as i know, this topic has not yet been seriously investigated.

The main result of this part of the work can be stated as follows: Let $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{W}(0,T) \times L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$ be the unique solution of (1.3)-(1.6). As ε goes to zero, $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ converges in some topology to some

$(\mathbf{u}_0, p_0) \in \mathcal{W}(0,T) \times L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$, where $(\mathbf{u}_0, p_0)$ is the unique solution of the initial boundary value problem (2.53)-(2.56). The macroscopic homogenized equations (2.53)-(2.56) is of the incompressible Navier-Stokes type. This result is proved in the periodic setting by Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, and in general deterministic setting by Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

Our approach is the *sigma-convergence* method derived from two-scale convergence ideas [1], [14] by means of so-called homogenization algebras [17], [18].

Problem II. Let us put

$$Y = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^N$$

with $N \geq 2$, Y being viewed as a subset of $\mathbb{R}_y^N$ (the space $\mathbb{R}^N$ of variables $y = (y_1, ..., y_N)$). Let Y_s be a connected open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $\overline{Y}_s \subset Y$ ($\overline{Y}_s$ the closure of Y_s in $\mathbb{R}^N$) and with smooth boundary $\Gamma = \partial Y_s$. We put

$$Y_f = Y \backslash \overline{Y}_s$$

and

$$\Theta = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \left(k + \overline{Y}_s\right).$$

In view of the compactness of $\overline{Y}_s$, it is an easy exercise to verify that Θ is closed in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Now, let Ω be a connected smooth bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}_x^N$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. We define

$$\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \backslash \varepsilon\Theta.$$

This is a Lipschitz bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}_x^N$.

We are now in a position to state the problem under consideration in the present setting. Let $T > 0$. Given $\mathbf{f} = \left(f^i\right) \in L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N\right)$, we consider the initial boundary value problem in $\Omega_\varepsilon \times]0,T[$ for the Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_\varepsilon^j \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} + \mathbf{grad} p_\varepsilon = \mathbf{f} \text{ in } \Omega_\varepsilon \times]0,T[, \tag{1.7}$$

$$div \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_\varepsilon \times]0,T[, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon \times]0,T[, \tag{1.9}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_\varepsilon \tag{1.10}$$

where $\nu > 0$ is the kinematic viscosity coefficient. For $N = 2$ the problem (1.7)-(1.10) admits a unique solution $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ with $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \in L^2\left(0,T; H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)^N\right)$ and $p_\varepsilon \in L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)/\mathbb{R}\right)$ (see, e.g., [10], [31]).

The aim is to study the limiting behavior of $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. In other words, our purpose here is to discuss the homogenization of the initial boundary value problem, (1.7)-(1.10), the non-stationary Navier-Stokes equations governing an incompressible fluid flow in the domain Ω_ε.

Many authors have studied the homogenization, in porous media, of fluid flows governed by the Stokes as well as the Navier-Stokes equations in various physical contexts. We refer for example to [2], [3], [6], [8], [12] and [13]. Those authors derive mostly the Darcy's law without the proof of a global convergence result as it is stated and proved in Theorem 4.1. Our topic here is concerned with the sigma-convergence of the non-stationary incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in porous media, with it rigorous proofs of convergence results.

By means of the sigma-convergence, we derive the homogenized problem for (1.7)-(1.10) which is given by (4.26)-(4.27). Equation (4.27) is the Darcy's law with a time parameter. A similar result as been established for the stationary case in [23, Section 4], but in view of the difficulties encountered in the proof of estimates for the pressure and the acceleration in the non-stationary case, Theorem 4.1 seems not to have been published before in the literature.

Unless otherwise specified, vector spaces throughout are considered over the complex field, $\mathbb{C}$, and scalar functions are assumed to take complex values. Let us recall some basic notation. If X and F denote a locally compact space and a Banach space, respectively, then we write $\mathcal{C}(X;F)$ for continuous mappings of X into F, and $\mathcal{B}(X;F)$ for those mappings in $\mathcal{C}(X;F)$ that are bounded. We denote by $\mathcal{K}(X;F)$ the mappings in $\mathcal{C}(X;F)$ having compact supports. We shall assume $\mathcal{B}(X;F)$ to be equipped with the supremum norm $\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{x\in X}\|u(x)\|$ ($\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm in F). For shortness we will write $\mathcal{C}(X) = \mathcal{C}(X;\mathbb{C})$, $\mathcal{B}(X) = \mathcal{B}(X;\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{K}(X) = \mathcal{K}(X;\mathbb{C})$. Likewise in the case when $F = \mathbb{C}$, the usual spaces $L^p(X;F)$ and $L^p_{loc}(X;F)$ (X provided with a positive Radon measure) will be denoted by $L^p(X)$ and $L^p_{loc}(X)$, respectively. Finally, the numerical space $\mathbb{R}^N$ and its open sets are each provided with Lebesgue measure denoted by $dx = dx_1...dx_N$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the homogenization of (1.3)-(1.6) under the periodicity assumption on the coefficients a_{ij}. In Section 3 we reconsider the homogenization of (1.3)-(1.6) in a more general setting. The periodicity hypothesis on the coefficients a_{ij} is here replaced by an abstract assumption covering a variety of concrete behaviours, the periodicity being a particular case. Finally, in Section 4 we discuss the homogenization of problem (1.7)-(1.10).

2. Periodic homogenization of unsteady Navier-Stokes type equations

2.1. **Preliminaries.** Let Ω be a smooth bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$. For fixed $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we introduce the bilinear form a^ε on $H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N \times H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$ defined by

$$a^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_\Omega a_{ij}^\varepsilon \frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial v^k}{\partial x_i} dx$$

for $\mathbf{u} = \left(u^k\right)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \left(v^k\right)$ in $H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$. By virtue of (1.1), the form a^ε is symmetric. Further, in view of (1.2),

$$a^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v}) \geq \alpha \|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H_0^1(\Omega)^N} \tag{2.1}$$

for every $\mathbf{v} = \left(v^k\right) \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, where

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)^N} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \int_\Omega \left|\nabla v^k\right| dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with $\nabla v^k = \left(\frac{\partial v^k}{\partial x_1}, ..., \frac{\partial v^k}{\partial x_N}\right)$. On the other hand, it is clear that a constant $c_0 > 0$ exists such that

$$|a^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})| \leq c_0 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)^N} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)^N} \tag{2.2}$$

for every $\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. We introduce also the trilinear form b on $H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N \times H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N \times H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$ defined by

$$b(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \int_\Omega u^j \frac{\partial v^k}{\partial x_j} w^k dx$$

for $\mathbf{u} = \left(u^k\right)$, $\mathbf{v} = \left(v^k\right)$ et $\mathbf{w} = \left(w^k\right) \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$. The form b has the following properties [31, pp.162-163]:

$$|b(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})| \leq c(N) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)^N} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)^N} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)^N} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$, where the positive constant $c(N)$ depends on N and Ω;

$$b(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v}) = 0 \qquad \left(\mathbf{u} \in V,\ \mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N\right) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$b(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = -b(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v}) \qquad \left(\mathbf{u} \in V,\ \mathbf{v} \text{ et } \mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N\right). \tag{2.5}$$

For $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$, let us consider the linear form $B(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$ on $H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$ defined by

$$\langle B(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{w}\rangle = b(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) \qquad \left(\mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N\right).$$

Let us set for $\mathbf{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$

$$\widetilde{B}(\mathbf{u}) = B(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}).$$

In view of (2.3), we have $\widetilde{B}(\mathbf{u}) \in H^{-1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$ and

$$\left\|\widetilde{B}(\mathbf{u})\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)^N} \leq c(N) \|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{H_0^1(\Omega)^N}.$$

Before we can establish some estimates on the velocity $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$, the acceleration $\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}$ and the pressure p_ε, let us recall the following results.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Ω be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We have the following inequalities:*

$$\|v\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|v\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{grad} v\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega)} \qquad \left(v \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})\right), \tag{2.6}$$

$$|b(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\mathbf{u}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\| |\mathbf{w}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{w}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \left(\mathbf{u},\ \mathbf{v},\ \mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^2\right), \tag{2.7}$$

where $|\cdot|$ *and* $\|\cdot\|$ *are respectively the norms in* $L^2(\Omega)^N$ *and* $H_0^1(\Omega)^N$. *Moreover, if* $\mathbf{u} \in L^2(0,T;V) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H)$, H *being the closure of* $\mathcal{V} = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^2 : div\mathbf{u} = 0 \right\}$ *in* $L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^2$, *then* $\widetilde{B}(\mathbf{u}) \in L^2(0,T;V')$ *and*

$$\left\| \widetilde{B}(\mathbf{u}) \right\|_{L^2(0,T;V')} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}. \tag{2.8}$$

The proof of the above lemma can be found in [31, pp.291-293].

The following regularity result is fundamental for the estimates of the solution $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ of (1.3)-(1.6).

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose in (1.3)-(1.6) that* $N = 2$ *and*

$$\mathbf{f},\ \mathbf{f}' \in L^2(0,T;V') \ \text{ and } \mathbf{f}(0) \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N. \tag{2.9}$$

Then the solution $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ *verifies:*

$$\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon \in L^2(0,T;V) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H). \tag{2.10}$$

The proof of the above lemma follows by the same line of argument as in the proof of [31, p.299, Theorem 3.5]. So we omit it. We are now able to prove the result on the estimates.

Proposition 2.1. *Under the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2, there exists a constant* $c > 0$ *(independent of* ε*) such that the pair* $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ *solution of (1.3)-(1.6) in* $\mathcal{W}(0,T) \times L^2\left(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$ *satisfies:*

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{W}(0,T)} \leq c \tag{2.11}$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2\left(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^N\right)} \leq c \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\|p_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq c. \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. Let $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ be the solution of (1.3)-(1.6). We have

$$(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}) + a^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{v}) \quad (\mathbf{v} \in V) \tag{2.14}$$

for almost all $t \in [0,T]$, where $(,)$ denotes the duality pairing between V' and V as well as between $H^{-1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$ and $H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$. By taking in particular $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)$ in (2.14), we have for almost all $t \in [0,T]$

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)|^2 + 2\alpha \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{V'}^2 + \alpha \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2$$

since $b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)) = 0$ in view of (2.4) ($|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are respectively the norms in $L^2(\Omega)^N$ and $H_0^1(\Omega)^N$). Hence, for every $s \in [0,T]$

$$|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)|^2 + \alpha \int_0^s \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{V'}^2 dt$$

and

$$|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{V'}^2 dt \tag{2.15}$$

since $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0)=0$. We have also

$$\alpha\int_0^T\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2\,dt\le\frac{1}{\alpha}\int_0^T\|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{V'}^2\,dt. \tag{2.16}$$

On the other hand, the abstract parabolic problem for (1.3)-(1.6) gives

$$\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon=\mathbf{f}-A_\varepsilon\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon-\widetilde{B}(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon),$$

where A_ε is the linear operator of V into V' defined by

$$(A_\varepsilon\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})=a^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\qquad(\mathbf{u},\ \mathbf{v}\in V).$$

Hence, in view of (2.2)

$$\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;V')}\le\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(0,T;V')}+c_0\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}+\left\|\widetilde{B}(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)\right\|_{L^2(0,T;V')}, \tag{2.17}$$

since $\widetilde{B}(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)\in L^2(0,T;V')$ (see (2.8)). Thus, by (2.15)-(2.17) and (2.8) one quickly arrives at (2.11). Let us show (2.12). By virtue of Lemma 2.2 we have $\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon\in L^2(0,T;V)\cap L^\infty(0,T;H)$. On the other hand, we are allowed to differentiate (2.14) in the distribution sense on $]0,T[$. We get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon,\mathbf{v})+a^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon,\mathbf{v})+b(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon,\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon,\mathbf{v})+b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon,\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon,\mathbf{v})=(\mathbf{f}',\mathbf{v})\qquad(\mathbf{v}\in V),$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon,\mathbf{v})=(\mathbf{f}'-A_\varepsilon\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon-B(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon,\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)-B(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon,\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon),\mathbf{v}).$$

But the function $\mathbf{f}'-A_\varepsilon\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon-B(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon,\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)-B(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon,\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon)$ belongs to $L^2(0,T;V')$: indeed, $\mathbf{f}'\in L^2(0,T;V')$ by hypothesis, $A_\varepsilon\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon\in L^2(0,T;V')$, and further we have

$$\int_0^T\|B(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t))\|_{V'}^2\,dt\le2\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)}\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)}\int_0^T\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|\,\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|\,dt$$

and

$$\int_0^T\|B(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t))\|_{V'}^2\,dt\le2\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)}\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)}\int_0^T\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|\,\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|\,dt$$

by virtue of part (2.7) of Lemma 2.1. Thus by [31, p.250, Lemma 1.1], $\mathbf{u}''_\varepsilon\in L^2(0,T;V')$ and

$$(\mathbf{u}''_\varepsilon,\mathbf{v})+a^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon,\mathbf{v})+b(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon,\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon,\mathbf{v})+b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon,\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon,\mathbf{v})=\langle\mathbf{f}',\mathbf{v}\rangle \tag{2.18}$$

for all $\mathbf{v}\in V$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon\in L^2(0,T;V)$ and $\mathbf{u}''_\varepsilon\in L^2(0,T;V')$ imply that $\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{W}(0,T)$. But $\mathcal{W}(0,T)$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{C}([0,T];H)$ (see [31]), thus $\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{C}([0,T];H)$. Moreover, replacing $\mathbf{v}$ by $\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)$ in (2.14), we obtain

$$|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)|^2+a^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t))+b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t))=(\mathbf{f}(t),\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)).$$

As $\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{C}(0,T;H)$, one has in particular for $t=0$,

$$|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(0)|^2=(\mathbf{f}(0),\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(0))-a^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0),\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(0))-b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0),\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0),\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(0))$$

where $(,)$ denotes also the scalar product in H. But $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0)=0$, thus by the preceding equality we obtain

$$|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(0)|\le|\mathbf{f}(0)|. \tag{2.19}$$

The inequality (2.19) shows that $\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(0)$ lies in a bounded subset of H. On the other hand, by taking in particular $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)$ in (2.18), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right|^2 + 2\alpha\left\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2 + 2b\left(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right) \leq 2\left(\mathbf{f}'(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right), \tag{2.20}$$

since $b\left(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right) = 0$. But, by virtue of Lemme 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} 2\left|b\left(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right)\right| &\leq 2^{\frac{3}{2}}\left|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right|\left\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right\|\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\| \\ &\leq \alpha\left\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2 + \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2\left|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce from (2.20) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\left\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2 \leq \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{f}'(t)\right\|^2_{V'} + \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2\left|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right|^2. \tag{2.21}$$

By (2.21) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right|^2 - \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2\left|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right|^2 \leq \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{f}'(t)\right\|^2_{V'}. \tag{2.22}$$

As

$$\exp\left(-\int_0^t \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|^2 ds\right) \leq 1,$$

multiplying (2.22) by $\exp\left(-\int_0^t \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|^2 ds\right)$ yields

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\left|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right|^2 - \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2\left|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right|^2\right)\exp\left(-\int_0^t \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|^2 ds\right) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{f}'(t)\right\|^2_{V'},$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\{\left|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right|^2 \exp\left(-\int_0^t \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|^2 ds\right)\right\} \leq \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{f}'(t)\right\|^2_{V'}. \tag{2.23}$$

Thus, integrating (2.23) on $(0,t)$, $t \in (0,T)$, we have

$$\left|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\right|^2 \leq \left\{\left|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(0)\right|^2 + \frac{2}{\alpha}\int_0^T \left\|\mathbf{f}'(t)\right\|^2_{V'} dt\right\}\exp\left(\int_0^T \frac{2}{\alpha}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|^2 ds\right) \tag{2.24}$$

for all $t \in (0,T)$. It follows from (2.16), (2.19) and (2.24) that the sequence $(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $L^2\left(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^N\right)$. Hence, the sequence $\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $L^2\left(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^N\right)$ and (2.12) is proved. Further, by part (2.7) of Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\left|b(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})\right| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mathbf{u}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathbf{u}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathbf{v}\||\mathbf{w}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathbf{w}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \left(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N\right).$$

Thus, if $\mathbf{u} \in V$ then $b(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = -b(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v})$ and

$$\left|b(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})\right| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mathbf{u}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathbf{u}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathbf{w}\||\mathbf{v}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathbf{v}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \left(\mathbf{v},\ \mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N\right).$$

In particular,

$$\left|b(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\right| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mathbf{u}|\|\mathbf{u}\|\|\mathbf{v}\| \text{ for } \mathbf{u} \in V \text{ and } \mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N,$$

thus

$$\left\|\widetilde{B}(\mathbf{u})\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)^N} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mathbf{u}|\|\mathbf{u}\| \qquad (\mathbf{u} \in V).$$

It follows from the preceding inequality that $\widetilde{B}(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \in L^2\left(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^N\right)$ and

$$\int_0^T \left\|\widetilde{B}(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)\right\|^2_{H^{-1}(\Omega)^N} dt \leq 2\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;H)}\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V)}. \tag{2.25}$$

On the other hand, $p_\varepsilon(t) \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}$ for almost all $t \in (0,T)$. Consequently, by virtue of [30, p. 30] there exists some $\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(t) \in H_0^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N$ such that

$$div\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(t) = p_\varepsilon(t) \tag{2.26}$$

$$\|\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)^N} \leq c_1\|p_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{2.27}$$

where the constant c_1 depends solely on Ω. Multiplying (1.3) by $\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(t)$, we have for almost all $t \in (0,T)$

(2.28)

$$(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon, \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(t)) + a^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(t)) + b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(t)) - \int_\Omega p_\varepsilon(t)\, div\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(t)\, dx = (\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(t)).$$

Integrating (2.28) on $(0,T)$, and using (2.25)-(2.27) yield

(2.29)

$$\|p_\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2(Q)} \leq c_1 c\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon\|_{L^2\left(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^N\right)}\|p_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} + \sqrt{2}c_1\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)}\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}\|p_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)}$$

$$+c_1\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))}\|p_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} + c_0c_1\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}\|p_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)},$$

where c is the constant in the Poincaré inequality, c_0 and c_1 are the constants in (2.2) and (2.27) respectively. It follows from (2.29) that

$$\|p_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq c_1 c\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon\|_{L^2\left(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^N\right)} + \sqrt{2}c_1\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)}\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;V)} \tag{2.30}$$

$$+c_1\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} + c_0c_1\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}.$$

Using (2.11), (2.12) and (2.16) already proved, one quickly arrives a (2.13) by (2.30). The proof of the proposition is complete. □

2.2. **A convergence result for (1.3)-(1.6).** We set $Y = \left(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)^N$, Y considered as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^N_y$ (the space $\mathbb{R}^N$ of variables $y = (y_1,...,y_N)$). We set also $Z = \left(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)$, Z considered as a subset of $\mathbb{R}_\tau$ (the space $\mathbb{R}$ of variables τ). Our purpose is to study the homogenization of (1.3)-(1.6) under the periodicity hypothesis on a_{ij}.

2.2.1. ***Preliminaries***. Let us first recall that a function $u \in L^1_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$ is said to be $Y \times Z$-periodic if for each $(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z}^N \times \mathbb{Z}$ ($\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the integers), we have $u(y+k,\tau+l) = u(y,\tau)$ almost everywhere (a.e.) in $(y,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$. If in addition u is continuous, then the preceding equality holds for every $(y,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$. The space of all $Y \times Z$-periodic continuous complex functions on $\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{per}(Y \times Z)$; that of all $Y \times Z$-periodic functions in $L^p_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ is denoted by $L^p_{per}(Y \times Z)$. $\mathcal{C}_{per}(Y \times Z)$ is a Banach space under the supremum norm on $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$, whereas $L^p_{per}(Y \times Z)$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^p(Y\times Z)} = \left(\int_Z \int_Y |u(y,\tau)|^p\, dyd\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \qquad \left(u \in L^p_{per}(Y \times Z)\right).$$

We will need the space $H^1_{\#}(Y)$ of Y-periodic functions $u \in H^1_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right) = W^{1,2}_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ such that $\int_Y u(y)\,dy = 0$. Provided with the gradient norm,

$$\|u\|_{H^1_{\#}(Y)} = \left(\int_Y |\nabla_y u|^2\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \left(u \in H^1_{\#}(Y)\right),$$

where $\nabla_y u = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_1}, ..., \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_N}\right)$, $H^1_{\#}(Y)$ is a Hilbert space. We will also need the space $L^2_{per}\left(Z; H^1_{\#}(Y)\right)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^2_{per}\left(Z;H^1_{\#}(Y)\right)} = \left(\int_Z \int_Y |\nabla_y u(y,\tau)|^2\,dyd\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \left(u \in L^2_{per}\left(Z; H^1_{\#}(Y)\right)\right)$$

which is a Hilbert space.

Before we can recall the concept of Σ-convergence, let us introduce one further notation. The letter E throughout will denote a family of real numbers $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ admitting 0 as an accumulation point. For example, E may be the whole interval $(0,1)$; E may also be an ordinary sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $0 < \varepsilon_n < 1$ and $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. In the latter case E will be referred to as a *fundamental sequence*.

Let Ω be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^N_x$ and $Q = \Omega\times]0,T[$ with $T \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$, and let $1 \le p < \infty$.

Definition 2.1. *A sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^p(Q)$ is said to:*

(i) weakly Σ-converge in $L^p(Q)$ to some $u_0 \in L^p\left(Q; L^p_{per}(Y\times Z)\right)$ if as $E \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

(2.31)
$$\int_Q u_\varepsilon(x,t)\,\psi^\varepsilon(x,t)\,dxdt \to \int\int\int_{Q\times Y\times Z} u_0(x,t,y,\tau)\,\psi(x,t,y,\tau)\,dxdtdyd\tau$$
$$\text{for all } \psi \in L^{p'}\left(Q; \mathcal{C}_{per}(Y\times Z)\right) \quad \left(\tfrac{1}{p'} = 1 - \tfrac{1}{p}\right), \text{ where } \psi^\varepsilon(x,t) = \psi\left(x,t,\tfrac{x}{\varepsilon},\tfrac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad ((x,t)\in Q);$$

(ii) strongly Σ-converge in $L^p(Q)$ to some $u_0 \in L^p\left(Q; L^p_{per}(Y\times Z)\right)$ if the following property is verified:

(2.32)
$$\begin{cases} \text{Given } \eta > 0 \text{ and } v \in L^p\left(Q; \mathcal{C}_{per}(Y\times Z)\right) \text{ with} \\ \|u_0 - v\|_{L^p(Q\times Y\times Z)} \le \frac{\eta}{2}, \text{ there is some } \alpha > 0 \text{ such} \\ \text{that } \|u_\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon\|_{L^p(Q)} \le \eta \text{ provided } E \ni \varepsilon \le \alpha. \end{cases}$$

We will briefly express weak and strong two-scale convergence by writing $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(Q)$-weak Σ and $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(Q)$-strong Σ, respectively.

Remark 2.1. *It is of interest to know that if $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(Q)$-weak Σ, then (2.31) holds for $\psi \in \mathcal{C}\left(\overline{Q}; L^\infty_{per}(Y\times Z)\right)$. See* [16, Proposition 10] *for the proof.*

Instead of repeating here the main results underlying two-scale convergence or Σ-convergence theory for periodic structures, we find it more convenient to draw the reader's attention to a few references, see, e.g., [1], [11], [16] and [32].

However, we recall below two fundamental results. First of all, let

$$\mathcal{Y}(0,T) = \left\{v \in L^2\left(0,T; H^1_0(\Omega;\mathbb{R})\right) : v' \in L^2\left(0,T; H^{-1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R})\right)\right\}.$$

$\mathcal{Y}(0,T)$ is provided with the norm

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{Y}(0,T)} = \left(\|v\|^2_{L^2\left(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega)\right)} + \|v'\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (v \in \mathcal{Y}(0,T))$$

which makes it a Hilbert space.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $1 < p < \infty$ and further E is a fundamental sequence. Let a sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ be bounded in $L^p(Q)$. Then, a subsequence E' can be extracted from E such that $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E'}$ weakly Σ-converges in $L^p(Q)$.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let E be a fundamental sequence. Suppose a sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{Y}(0,T)$. Then, a subsequence E' can be extracted from E such that, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,*

$$u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \text{ in } \mathcal{Y}(0,T)\text{-weak},$$
$$u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \text{ in } L^2(Q)\text{-weak } \Sigma,$$
$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y_j} \text{ in } L^2(Q)\text{-weak } \Sigma \ \ (1 \le j \le N),$$

where $u_0 \in \mathcal{Y}(0,T)$, $u_1 \in L^2\left(Q; L^2_{per}\left(Z; H^1_{\#}(Y)\right)\right)$.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 can be found in, e.g., [1], [11], whereas Theorem 2.2 has its proof in, e.g., [16] and [24].

2.2.2. *A global homogenization theorem.* Before we can establish a so-called global homogenization theorem for (1.3)-(1.6), we require a few basic notation and results. To begin, let

$$\mathcal{V}_Y = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Y;\mathbb{R})^N : \int_Y \boldsymbol{\psi}(y)\,dy = 0,\ div_y \boldsymbol{\psi} = 0 \right\},$$
$$V_Y = \left\{ \mathbf{w} \in H^1_{\#}(Y;\mathbb{R})^N : div_y \mathbf{w} = 0 \right\},$$

where: $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Y;\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^N;\mathbb{R}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}_{per}(Y)$, div_y denotes the divergence operator in $\mathbb{R}^N_y$. We provide V_Y with the $H^1_{\#}(Y)^N$-norm, which makes it a Hilbert space. There is no difficulty in verifying that $\mathcal{V}_Y$ is dense in V_Y (proceed as in [21, Proposition 3.2]). With this in mind, set

$$\mathbb{F}^1_0 = L^2(0,T;V) \times L^2\left(Q; L^2_{per}(Z;V_Y)\right).$$

This is a Hilbert space with norm

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{F}^1_0} = \left(\|\mathbf{v}_0\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V)} + \|\mathbf{v}_1\|^2_{L^2\left(Q;L^2_{per}(Z;V_Y)\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\ \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) \in \mathbb{F}^1_0.$$

On the other hand, put

$$\mathbf{F}^\infty_0 = \mathcal{D}(0,T;\mathcal{V}) \times \left[\mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R}) \otimes \left[\mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Z;\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{V}_Y\right]\right],$$

where $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Z;\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{per}(Z)$, $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Z;\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{V}_Y$ stands for the space of vector functions $\mathbf{w}$ on $\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau$ of the form

$$\mathbf{w}(y,\tau) = \sum_{finite} \chi_i(\tau)\,\mathbf{v}_i(y) \quad \left(\tau \in \mathbb{R},\ y \in \mathbb{R}^N\right)$$

with $\chi_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Z;\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathcal{V}_Y$, and where $\mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R}) \otimes \left(\mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Z;\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{V}_Y\right)$ is the space of vector functions on $Q \times \mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}(x,t,y,\tau) = \sum_{finite} \varphi_i(x,t)\,\mathbf{w}_i(y,\tau) \quad \left((x,t) \in Q,\ (y,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}\right)$$

with $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbf{w}_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Z;\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{V}_Y$. Since $\mathcal{V}$ is dense in V (see [31, p.18]), it is clear that $\mathbf{F}^\infty_0$ is dense in $\mathbb{F}^1_0$.

Now, let

$$\mathbb{U} = V \times L^2\left(\Omega; L^2_{per}(Z;V_Y)\right).$$

Provided with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{U}} = \left(\|\mathbf{v}_0\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_1\|^2_{L^2\left(\Omega;L^2_{per}(Z;V_Y)\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) \in \mathbb{U}),$$

$\mathbb{U}$ is a Hilbert space. Let us set

$$\widehat{a}_\Omega(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} \int\int\int_{\Omega\times Y\times Z} a_{ij}\left(\frac{\partial u^k_0}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u^k_1}{\partial y_j}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v^k_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial v^k_1}{\partial y_i}\right) dxdyd\tau$$

for $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1)$ in $\mathbb{U}$. This defines a symmetric continuous bilinear form $\widehat{a}_\Omega$ on $\mathbb{U}\times\mathbb{U}$. Furthermore, $\widehat{a}_\Omega$ is $\mathbb{U}$-elliptic. Specifically,

$$\widehat{a}_\Omega(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}) \geq \alpha \|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{\mathbb{U}} \quad (\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.33}$$

as is easily checked by using (1.2) and the fact that $\int_Y \frac{\partial u^k_1}{\partial y_j}(x,y,\tau)\, dy = 0$.

Here is one fundamental lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $N = 2$. Suppose also that there exists a function $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1) \in \mathbb{F}^1_0$ verifying*

$$\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathcal{W}(0,T) \text{ with } \mathbf{u}_0(0) = 0, \tag{2.34}$$

and the variational equation

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^T (\mathbf{u}_0'(t), \mathbf{v}_0(t))\, dt + \int_0^T \widehat{a}_\Omega(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}(t))\, dt + \int_0^T b(\mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_0(t))\, dt \\ \qquad = \int_0^T (\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{v}_0(t))\, dt \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) \in \mathbb{F}^1_0. \end{cases} \tag{2.35}$$

Then $\mathbf{u}$ is unique.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v}_* = (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(]0,T[)$. By taking $\mathbf{v} = \varphi \otimes \mathbf{v}_*$ in (2.35), we arrive at

$$(\mathbf{u}_0'(t), \mathbf{v}_0) + \widehat{a}_\Omega(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}_*) + b(\mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_0) = (\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{v}_0) \qquad (\mathbf{v}_* \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.36}$$

for almost all $t \in (0,T)$. Suppose that $\mathbf{u}_*$ and $\mathbf{u}_{**}$ are two solutions of (2.34)-(2.35) with $\mathbf{u}_* = (\mathbf{u}_{*0}, \mathbf{u}_{*1})$ and $\mathbf{u}_{**} = (\mathbf{u}_{**0}, \mathbf{u}_{**1})$. Let $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_* - \mathbf{u}_{**} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ with $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u}_{*0} - \mathbf{u}_{**0}$ and $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{u}_{*1} - \mathbf{u}_{**1}$. Let us show that $\mathbf{u} = 0$. Using (2.36), we see that $\mathbf{u}$ verifies:

$$(\mathbf{u}_0'(t), \mathbf{v}_0) + \widehat{a}_\Omega(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}_*) + b(\mathbf{u}_{*0}(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_0) + b(\mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_{**0}(t), \mathbf{v}_0) = 0 \tag{2.37}$$

for all $\mathbf{v}_* \in \mathbb{U}$ and for almost all $t \in (0,T)$. But, by virtue of [31, p. 261]

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\mathbf{u}_0(t)|^2 = 2(\mathbf{u}_0'(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t)) \tag{2.38}$$

for almost all $t \in (0,T)$. Hence, taking $\mathbf{v}_* = \mathbf{u}(t)$ in (2.37), we obtain by (2.4), (2.5) and (2.33)

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\mathbf{u}_0(t)|^2 + 2\alpha\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2_{\mathbb{U}} \leq -2b(\mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_{**0}(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t)) \tag{2.39}$$

for almost all $t \in (0,T)$. Furthermore, using part (2.7) of Lemma 2.1 yields

$$2|b(\mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_{**0}(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t))| \leq 2^{\frac{3}{2}} |\mathbf{u}_0(t)| \|\mathbf{u}_0(t)\| \|\mathbf{u}_{**0}(t)\|.$$

Thus, by the inequality

$$2^{\frac{3}{2}} |\mathbf{u}_0(t)| \|\mathbf{u}_0(t)\| \|\mathbf{u}_{**0}(t)\| \leq 2\alpha \|\mathbf{u}_0(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} |\mathbf{u}_0(t)|^2 \|\mathbf{u}_{**0}(t)\|^2$$

we have:

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\mathbf{u}_0(t)|^2 + 2\alpha \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbb{U}}^2 \leq 2\alpha \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbb{U}}^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} |\mathbf{u}_0(t)|^2 \|\mathbf{u}_{**0}(t)\|^2$$

for almost all $t \in (0,T)$, and then

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\mathbf{u}_0(t)|^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha} |\mathbf{u}_0(t)|^2 \|\mathbf{u}_{**0}(t)\|^2 \leq 0$$

for almost all $t \in (0,T)$. Multiplying the preceding inequality by $\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_{**0}(s)\|^2 ds\right)$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[|\mathbf{u}_0(t)|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_{**0}(s)\|^2 ds\right)\right] \leq 0$$

for almost all $t \in (0,T)$. As $\mathbf{u}_0(0) = 0$, integrating on $[0,t]$, $(0 \leq t \leq T)$ the preceding inequality yields: $|\mathbf{u}_0(t)|^2 \leq 0$ for all $t \in (0,T)$, thus $\mathbf{u}_0(t) = 0 \quad (t \in (0,T))$. Finally, the inequality (2.39) gives $\mathbf{u} = 0$, and the lemma follows. □

We are now able to prove the desired theorem. Throughout the remainder of the present section, it is assumed that a_{ij} is Y-periodic for any $1 \leq i,j \leq N$.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied. For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ be defined by (1.3)-(1.6). Then, as $\varepsilon \to 0$ we have*

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{u}_0 \text{ in } \mathcal{W}(0,T)\text{-weak}, \tag{2.40}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon^k}{\partial x_j} \to \frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_1^k}{\partial y_j} \text{ in } L^2(Q)\text{-weak } \Sigma \ \ (1 \leq j,k \leq N) \tag{2.41}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ (with $\mathbf{u}_0 = \left(u_0^k\right)$ and $\mathbf{u}_1 = \left(u_1^k\right)$) is the unique solution of (2.34)-(2.35).

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, we see that the sequences $(p_\varepsilon)_{0<\varepsilon<1}$ and $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)_{0<\varepsilon<1} = \left(u_\varepsilon^1, ..., u_\varepsilon^N\right)_{0<\varepsilon<1}$ are bounded respectively in $L^2(Q)$ and $\mathcal{W}(0,T)$. Further, it follows from (2.11) and (2.12) that for $1 \leq k \leq N$, the sequence $\left(u_\varepsilon^k\right)_{0<\varepsilon<1}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{Y}(0,T)$. Let E be a fundamental sequence. Then, by Theorems 2.1-2.2 and the fact that $\mathcal{W}(0,T)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(Q)^N$, there exist a subsequence E' extracted from E and functions $\mathbf{u}_0 = \left(u_0^k\right)_{1\leq k\leq N} \in \mathcal{W}(0,T)$, $\mathbf{u}_1 = \left(u_1^k\right)_{1\leq k\leq N} \in L^2\left(Q; L^2_{per}\left(Z; H^1_{\#}(Y;\mathbb{R})^N\right)\right)$, and $p \in L^2\left(Q; L^2_{per}(Y\times Z;\mathbb{R})\right)$ such that as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, we have (2.40)-(2.41) and

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{u}_0 \text{ in } L^2(Q)^N\text{-strong}, \tag{2.42}$$

$$p_\varepsilon \to p \text{ in } L^2(Q)\text{-weak } \Sigma. \tag{2.43}$$

But, by virtue of Lemma 2.3, the theorem will be entirely proved if we show that $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ verifies (2.35). Indeed, according to (1.4), we have $div\mathbf{u}_0 = 0$ and $div_y \mathbf{u}_1 = 0$. Therefore $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1) \in \mathbb{F}_0^1$. Let us recall that $\mathbf{u}_0$ can be considered as a continuous function of $[0,T]$ into H since $\mathcal{W}(0,T)$ is continuously embedded

in $\mathcal{C}([0,T];H)$. Let us show that $\mathbf{u}_0(0)=0$. For $\mathbf{v}\in V$ and $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}^1([0,T])$ with $\varphi(T)=0$ and $\varphi(0)=1$, we have by an integration by part

$$\int_0^T(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{v})\varphi(t)\,dt+\int_0^T(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{v})\varphi'(t)\,dt=-(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0),\mathbf{v}).$$

According to (1.6), we have by passing to the limit in the preceding equality as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$

$$\int_0^T(\mathbf{u}'_0(t),\mathbf{v})\varphi(t)\,dt+\int_0^T(\mathbf{u}_0(t),\mathbf{v})\varphi'(t)\,dt=0.$$

Hence $(\mathbf{u}_0(0),\mathbf{v})=0$ for all $\mathbf{v}\in V$, and as V is dense in H we conclude that $\mathbf{u}_0(0)=0$. Now, let us check that $\mathbf{u}=(\mathbf{u}_0,\mathbf{u}_1)$ verifies the variational equation of (2.35). For $0<\varepsilon<1$, let

$$\begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon=\boldsymbol{\psi}_0+\varepsilon\boldsymbol{\psi}_1^\varepsilon \text{ with } \boldsymbol{\psi}_0\in\mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R})^N \text{ and}\\ \boldsymbol{\psi}_1\in\mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R})\otimes\left[\mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Z;\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathcal{V}_Y\right],\end{array}\tag{2.44}$$

i.e., $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon(x,t)=\boldsymbol{\psi}_0(x,t)+\varepsilon\boldsymbol{\psi}_1\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)$ for $(x,t)\in Q$. We have $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R})^N$. Thus, multiplying (1.3) by $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon$ yields

(2.45)

$$\begin{array}{c}\int_0^T(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t),\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon(t))\,dt+\int_0^T a^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon(t))\,dt+\int_0^T b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon(t))\,dt\\ -\int_Q p_\varepsilon div\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon dxdt=\int_0^T(\mathbf{f}(t),\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon(t))\,dt.\end{array}$$

Let us note at once that

$$\int_0^T(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t),\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon(t))\,dt=-\sum_{l=1}^N\int_Q u^l_\varepsilon\left[\frac{\partial\psi^l_0}{\partial t}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\psi^l_1}{\partial t}\right)^\varepsilon+\left(\frac{\partial\psi^l_1}{\partial\tau}\right)^\varepsilon\right]dxdt.$$

Then by virtue of (2.42) we have

$$\int_0^T(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t),\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon(t))\,dt\to-\sum_{l=1}^N\int_Q u^l_0\frac{\partial\psi^l_0}{\partial t}dxdt=\int_0^T(\mathbf{u}'_0(t),\boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t))\,dt\tag{2.46}$$

as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$. In fact, on one hand

$$\sum_{l=1}^N\int_Q u^l_\varepsilon\left[\frac{\partial\psi^l_0}{\partial t}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\psi^l_1}{\partial t}\right)^\varepsilon+\left(\frac{\partial\psi^l_1}{\partial\tau}\right)^\varepsilon\right]dxdt$$

$$\to\sum_{l=1}^N\left[\int_Q u^l_0\frac{\partial\psi^l_0}{\partial t}dxdt+\int\int\int_{Q\times Y\times Z}u^l_0\frac{\partial\psi^l_1}{\partial\tau}dxdtdyd\tau\right]$$

as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$, on the other hand

$\int\int\int_{Q\times Y\times Z}u^l_0\frac{\partial\psi^l_1}{\partial\tau}dxdtdyd\tau=\int_Q u^l_0\left(\int\int_{Y\times Z}\frac{\partial\psi^l_1}{\partial\tau}dyd\tau\right)dxdt=0$ by virtue of the $Y\times Z$-periodicity. The next point is to pass to the limit in (2.45) as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$. To this end, we note that as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$,

$$\int_0^T a^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon(t))\,dt\to\int_0^T\widehat{a}_\Omega(\mathbf{u}(t),\boldsymbol{\Phi}(t))\,dt,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Phi}=(\boldsymbol{\psi}_0,\boldsymbol{\psi}_1)$ (proceed as in the proof of the analogous result in [19, p.179]). Further, in view of (2.42) and (2.41), it follows from [17, Proposition 4.7] (see also

[16]) that

$$\int_0^T b\left(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon(t)\right) dt \to \int_0^T b\left(\mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t)\right) dt$$

as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. Now, based on (2.43), there is no difficulty in showing that as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_Q p_\varepsilon div \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon dxdt \to \int\int\int_{Q\times Y\times Z} p div \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 dxdtdyd\tau.$$

On the other hand, let us check that as $\varepsilon \to 0$

$$\int_0^T \left(\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon(t)\right) dt \to \int_0^T \left(\mathbf{f}(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t)\right) dt. \tag{2.47}$$

Indeed, if $\mathbf{f} \in L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N\right)$ (2.47) is immediate by using the classical fact that $\mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon \to \boldsymbol{\psi}_0$ in $L^2(Q)^N$-weak and $\frac{\partial \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \to \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_0}{\partial x_j}$ in $L^2(Q)^N$-weak $(1 \le j \le N)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. In the general case, (2.47) follows by the density of $L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N\right)$ in $L^2\left(0,T; H^{-1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R})^N\right)$.

Having made this point, we can pass to the limit in (2.45) when $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, and the result is that

$$\begin{array}{c} \int_0^T \left(\mathbf{u}_0'(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t)\right) dt + \int_0^T \widehat{a}_\Omega\left(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{\Phi}(t)\right) dt + \int_0^T b\left(\mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t)\right) dt \\ - \int_Q p_0 div \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 dxdt = \int_0^T \left(\mathbf{f}(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t)\right) dt, \end{array} \tag{2.48}$$

where p_0 denotes the mean of p, i.e., $p_0 \in L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})\right)$ and $p_0(x,t) = \int\int_{Y\times Z} p(x,t,y,\tau)\, dyd\tau$ a.e. in $(x,t) \in Q$, and where $\mathbf{\Phi} = (\boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \boldsymbol{\psi}_1)$, $\boldsymbol{\psi}_0$ ranging over $\mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R})^N$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_1$ ranging over $\mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R}) \otimes \left[\mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Z;\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{V}_Y\right]$. Taking in particular $\boldsymbol{\psi}_0$ in $\mathcal{D}(0,T;\mathcal{V})$ and using the density of $\mathbf{F}_0^\infty$ in $\mathbb{F}_0^1$, one quickly arrives at (2.35). The unicity of $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ follows by Lemma 2.3. Consequently, (2.40) and (2.41) still hold when $E \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. Hence when $0 < \varepsilon \to 0$, by virtue of the arbitrariness of E. The theorem is proved. □

Now, we wish to give a simple representation of the vector function $\mathbf{u}_1$ in Theorem 2.3 for further uses. For this purpose we introduce the bilinear form $\widehat{a}$ on $L^2_{per}(Z;V_Y) \times L^2_{per}(Z;V_Y)$ defined by

$$\widehat{a}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^N \int\int_{Y\times Z} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u^k}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial v^k}{\partial y_i} dyd\tau$$

for $\mathbf{u} = \left(u^k\right)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \left(v^k\right) \in L^2_{per}(Z;V_Y)$. Next, for each pair of indices $1 \le i,k \le N$, we consider the variational problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik} \in L^2_{per}(Z;V_Y): \\ \widehat{a}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{l=1}^N \int_{Y\times Z} a_{li} \frac{\partial w^k}{\partial y_l} dyd\tau \\ \text{for all } \mathbf{w} = \left(w^j\right) \in L^2_{per}(Z;V_Y), \end{array} \right. \tag{2.49}$$

which determines $\boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik}$ in a unique manner.

Lemma 2.4. *Under the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 2.3, we have*

$$\mathbf{u}_1(x,t,y,\tau) = -\sum_{i,k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_i}(x,t)\,\boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik}(y,\tau) \tag{2.50}$$

almost everywhere in $(x,t,y,\tau) \in Q \times Y \times Z$.

Proof. In (2.35), we choose the test functions $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1)$ such that $\mathbf{v}_0 = 0$ and $\mathbf{v}_1(x,t,y,\tau) = \varphi(x,t)\,\mathbf{w}(y,\tau)$ for $(x,t,y,\tau) \in Q \times Y \times Z$, where $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{w} \in L^2_{per}(Z;V_Y)$. Then for almost every (x,t) in Q, we have

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{a}(\mathbf{u}_1(x,t),\mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{l,j,k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_j}(x,t) \int\int_{Y\times Z} a_{lj} \frac{\partial w^k}{\partial y_l} dy d\tau \\ \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{w} \in L^2_{per}(Z;V_Y). \end{cases} \tag{2.51}$$

But it is clear that $\mathbf{u}_1(x,t)$ (for fixed $(x,t) \in Q$) is the unique function in $L^2_{per}(Z;V_Y)$ solving the variational equation (2.51). On the other hand, it is an easy exercise to verify that $\mathbf{z}(x,t) = -\sum_{i,k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_i}(x,t)\,\boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik}$ solves also (2.51). Hence the lemma follows immediately. □

2.3. **Macroscopic homogenized equations.** Our aim here is to derive a well-posed initial boundary value problem for $(\mathbf{u}_0, p_0)$. To begin, for $1 \le i,j,k,h \le N$, let

$$q_{ijkh} = \delta_{kh} \int_Y a_{ij}(y)\,dy - \sum_{l=1}^{N} \int\int_{Y\times Z} a_{il}(y) \frac{\partial \chi_{jh}^k}{\partial y_l}(y,\tau)\,dyd\tau,$$

where δ_{kh} is the Kronecker symbol and $\boldsymbol{\chi}_{jh} = \left(\chi_{jh}^k\right)$ is defined by (2.49). To the coefficients q_{ijkh} we associate the differential operator $\mathcal{Q}$ on Q mapping $\mathcal{D}'(Q)^N$ into $\mathcal{D}'(Q)^N$ ($\mathcal{D}'(Q)$ being the usual space of complex distributions on Q) as

$$(\mathcal{Q}\mathbf{z})^k = -\sum_{i,j,h=1}^{N} q_{ijkh} \frac{\partial^2 z^h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad (1 \le k \le N) \text{ for } \mathbf{z} = \left(z^h\right),\ z^h \in \mathcal{D}'(Q). \tag{2.52}$$

$\mathcal{Q}$ is the so-called homogenized operator associated to P^ε $(0 < \varepsilon < 1)$.

Now, let us consider the initial boundary value problem

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_0}{\partial t} + \mathcal{Q}\mathbf{u}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_0^j \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_0}{\partial x_j} + \mathbf{grad} p_0 = \mathbf{f} \text{ in } Q = \Omega\times]0,T[, \tag{2.53}$$

$$div\,\mathbf{u}_0 = 0 \text{ in } Q, \tag{2.54}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_0 = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\times]0,T[, \tag{2.55}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_0(0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega. \tag{2.56}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose* $N = 2$. *The initial boundary value problem (2.53)-(2.56) admits at most one weak solution* $(\mathbf{u}_0, p_0)$ *with*

$\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathcal{W}(0,T)$ *and* $p_0 \in L^2\left(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$.

Proof. If $(\mathbf{u}_0, p_0) \in \mathcal{W}(0,T) \times L^2\left(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})\right)$ verifies (2.53)-(2.56), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T (\mathbf{u}_0'(t), \mathbf{v}_0(t))\,dt + \sum_{i,j,k,h=1}^{N} \int_Q q_{ijkh} \frac{\partial u_0^h}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v_0^k}{\partial x_i} dxdt \\ &+ \int_0^T b(\mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_0(t))\,dt = \int_0^T (\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{v}_0(t))\,dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mathbf{v}_0 \in L^2(0,T;V)$. From the previous equality, one quickly arrives at

(2.57)
$$\int_0^T (\mathbf{u}_0'(t), \mathbf{v}_0(t))\,dt + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^N \int\int\int_{Q\times Y\times Z} a_{ij}\left(\frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_1^k}{\partial y_j}\right)\frac{\partial v_0^k}{\partial x_i}dxdtdyd\tau + \int_0^T b(\mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_0(t))\,dt = \int_0^T (\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{v}_0(t))\,dt$$

where $u_1^k(x,t,y,\tau) = -\sum_{i,h=1}^N \frac{\partial u_0^h}{\partial x_i}(x,t)\,\chi_{ih}^k(y,\tau)$ for $(x,t,y,\tau) \in Q\times Y\times Z$. Let us check that $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ (with $\mathbf{u}_1(x,t,y,\tau) = -\sum_{i,k=1}^N \frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_i}(x,t)\,\boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik}(y,\tau)$ for $(x,t,y,\tau) \in Q\times Y\times Z$) satisfies (2.35). Indeed, we have

$$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^N \int\int\int_{Q\times Y\times Z} a_{ij}\left(\frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_1^k}{\partial y_j}\right)\frac{\partial v_1^k}{\partial y_i}dxdtdyd\tau = 0 \tag{2.58}$$

for all $\mathbf{v}_1 = \left(v_1^k\right) \in L^2\left(Q; L^2_{per}(Z; V_Y)\right)$, since $\mathbf{u}_1(x,t)$ verifies (2.51) for $(x,t) \in Q$. Thus, by (2.57)-(2.58), we see that $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ verifies (2.35). Hence, the unicity in (2.53)-(2.56) follows by Lemma 2.3. □

This leads us to the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied. For each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{W}(0,T) \times L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$ be defined by (1.3)-(1.6). Then, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{u}_0$ in $\mathcal{W}(0,T)$-weak and $p_\varepsilon \to p_0$ in $L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega)\right)$-weak, where the pair $(\mathbf{u}_0, p_0)$ lies in $\mathcal{W}(0,T)\times L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$ and is the unique solution of (2.53)-(2.56).*

Proof. Let E be a fundamental sequence. As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, there exists a subsequence E' extracted from E such that as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, we have (2.40)-(2.41) and (2.43) with $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1) \in \mathbb{F}_0^1$ and $\mathbf{u}_0(0) = 0$. Then, from (2.43) we have $p_\varepsilon \to p_0$ in $L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega)\right)$-weak when $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, where p_0 is the mean of p. Hence, it follows that $p_0 \in L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$. Furher, (2.48) holds for all $\boldsymbol{\Phi} = (\boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \boldsymbol{\psi}_1) \in \mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R})^N \times \mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R}) \otimes \left[\mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Z;\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{V}_Y\right]$. Then, substituting (2.50) in (2.48) and choosing therein the $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$'s such that $\boldsymbol{\psi}_1 = 0$, a simple computation leads to (2.53) with evidently (2.54)-(2.56). Hence the theorem follows by Lemma 2.5 since E is arbitrarily chosen. □

Remark 2.2. *The operator $\mathcal{Q}$ is elliptic, i.e., there is some $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{i,j,k,h=1}^N q_{ijkh}\xi_{ik}\xi_{jh} \geq \alpha_0 \sum_{k,h=1}^N |\xi_{kh}|^2$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \left(\xi_{ij}\right)$ with $\xi_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, by following a classical line of argument (see, e.g., [4]), we can give a suitable expression of q_{ijkh}, viz.

$$q_{ijkh} = \widehat{a}\left(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik} - \boldsymbol{\pi}_{ik}, \boldsymbol{\chi}_{jh} - \boldsymbol{\pi}_{jh}\right),$$

where, for each pair of indices $1 \leq i,k \leq N$, the vector function $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{ik} = \left(\pi_{ik}^1, ..., \pi_{ik}^N\right) : \mathbb{R}_y^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\pi_{ik}^r(y) = y_i\delta_{kr}$ $(r = 1, ..., N)$ for $y = (y_1, ..., y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Hence, the above ellipticity property follows in a classical fashion.

3. General deterministic homogenization of unsteady Navier-Stokes type equations

Our goal here is to extend the results of Section 2 to a more general setting beyond the periodic framework. The basic notation and hypotheses (except the periodicity assumption) stated before are still valid.

3.1. **Preliminaries and statement of the homogenization problem.** We recall that $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}_y^N\right)$, $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}_y^N \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$ denote respectively the spaces of bounded continuous complex functions on $\mathbb{R}_y^N$, $\mathbb{R}_\tau$ and $\mathbb{R}_y^N \times \mathbb{R}_\tau$. It is well known that the above spaces with the supremum norm and the usual algebra operations are commutative $\mathcal{C}^*$-algebras with identity (the involution is here the usual complex conjugation).

Throughout the present Section 3, A_y and A_τ denote respectively the separable closed subalgebras of the Banach algebras $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}_y^N\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$, A denotes the closure of $A_y \otimes A_\tau$ in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}_y^N \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$, which is also a separable closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}_y^N \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$. Further, we assume that A_y and A_τ contain the constants, A_y and A_τ are stable under complex conjugation, and finally, A_y and A_τ have the following properties: For all $u \in A_y$ and $v \in A_\tau$, we have $u^\varepsilon \to M(u)$ in $L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_x^N\right)$-weak $*$ and $v^\varepsilon \to M(v)$ in $L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_t\right)$-weak $*$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ $(\varepsilon > 0)$, where:

$$u^\varepsilon(x) = u\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \left(x \in \mathbb{R}^N\right),$$

$$v^\varepsilon(t) = v\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}),$$

the mapping $u \to M(u)$ of A_y (resp. A_τ) into $\mathbb{C}$, denoted by M, is a positive continuous linear form on A_y (resp. A_τ) with $M(1) = 1$ (see [17]). Then, under those assumptions on A_y and A_τ, A contains the constants, A is stable under complex conjugation and for any $w \in A$, we have $w^\varepsilon \to M(w)$ in $L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_{(x,t)}^{N+1}\right)$-weak $*$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ $(\varepsilon > 0)$ where

$$w^\varepsilon(x,t) = w\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \left((x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}\right).$$

For the details, see [17].

A_y, A_τ and A are called H-algebras. A is the H-algebra product of A_y and A_τ. It is clear that A_y, A_τ and A are the commutative $\mathcal{C}^*$-algebras with identity. We denote by $\Delta(A_y)$, $\Delta(A_\tau)$ and $\Delta(A)$ the spectra of A_y, A_τ and A respectively, and by $\mathcal{G}$ the Gelfand transformation on A_y, A_τ and A. We recall that if B is a commutative $\mathcal{C}^*$-algebras with identity, $\Delta(B)$ is the set of all nonzero multiplicative linear forms on B, and $\mathcal{G}$ is the mapping of B into $\mathcal{C}(\Delta(B))$ such that $\mathcal{G}(u)(s) = \langle s, u\rangle$ $(s \in \Delta(B))$, where $\langle , \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between B' (the topological dual of B) and B. The appropriate topology on $\Delta(B)$ is the relative weak $*$ topology on B'. So topologized, $\Delta(B)$ is a metrizable compact space, and the Gelfand transformation is an isometric isomorphism of the $\mathcal{C}^*$-algebra B onto the $\mathcal{C}^*$-algebra $\mathcal{C}(\Delta(B))$. See, e.g., [9] for further details concerning the Banach algebras theory.

The appropriate measures on $\Delta(A_y)$, $\Delta(A_\tau)$ and $\Delta(A)$ are the so-called M-measures, namely the positive Radon measures β_y, β_τ and β (of total mass 1) on $\Delta(A_y)$, $\Delta(A_\tau)$ and $\Delta(A)$ respectively, such that $M(u) = \int_{\Delta(A_y)} \mathcal{G}(u)\, d\beta_y$ for $u \in A_y$, $M(v) = \int_{\Delta(A_\tau)} \mathcal{G}(v)\, d\beta_\tau$ for $v \in A_\tau$ and $M(w) = \int_{\Delta(A)} \mathcal{G}(w)\, d\beta$ for

$w \in A$ (see [17, Proposition 2.1]). Points in $\Delta(A_y)$ (resp. $\Delta(A_\tau)$) are denoted by s (resp. s_0). Furthermore, we have $\Delta(A) = \Delta(A_y) \times \Delta(A_\tau)$ (Cartesian product) and $\beta = \beta_y \otimes \beta_\tau$.

The partial derivative of index i $(1 \leq i \leq N)$ on $\Delta(A_y)$ is defined to be the mapping $\partial_i = \mathcal{G} \circ D_{y_i} \circ \mathcal{G}^{-1}$ (usual composition) of

$$\mathcal{D}^1(\Delta(A_y)) = \left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta(A_y)) : \mathcal{G}^{-1}(\varphi) \in A_y^1\right\}$$

into $\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A_y))$, where $A_y^1 = \left\{\psi \in \mathcal{C}^1\left(\mathbb{R}_y^N\right) : \psi,\ D_{y_i}\psi \in A_y\ \ (1 \leq i \leq N)\right\}$, $D_{y_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$. Higher order derivatives can be defined analogously (see [17]). Now, let A_y^∞ be the space of $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_y^N\right)$ such that

$$D_y^\alpha \psi = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}\psi}{\partial y_1^{\alpha_1} ... \partial y_N^{\alpha_N}} \in A_y$$

for every multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$, and let

$$\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A_y)) = \left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta(A_y)) : \mathcal{G}^{-1}(\varphi) \in A_y^\infty\right\}.$$

Endowed with a suitable locally convex topology (see [17]), A_y^∞ (respectively $\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A_y))$) is a Fréchet space and further, $\mathcal{G}$ viewed as defined on A_y^∞ is a topological isomorphism of A_y^∞ onto $\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A_y))$.

By a distribution on $\Delta(A_y)$ is understood any continuous linear form on $\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A_y))$. The space of all distributions on $\Delta(A_y)$ is then the dual, $\mathcal{D}'(\Delta(A_y))$, of $\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A_y))$. We endow $\mathcal{D}'(\Delta(A_y))$ with the strong dual topology. In the sequel it is assumed that A_y^∞ is dense in A_y, which amounts to assuming that $\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A_y))$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A_y))$. Then $L^p(\Delta(A_y)) \subset \mathcal{D}'(\Delta(A_y))$ $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ with continuous embedding (see [17] for more details). Hence we may define

$$H^1(\Delta(A_y)) = \left\{u \in L^2(\Delta(A_y)) : \partial_i u \in L^2(\Delta(A_y))\ \ (1 \leq i \leq N)\right\},$$

where the derivative $\partial_i u$ is taken in the distribution sense on $\Delta(A_y)$ (exactly as the Schwartz derivative is defined in the classical case). This is a Hilbert space with norm

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Delta(A_y))} = \left(\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Delta(A_y))} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|^2_{L^2(\Delta(A_y))}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \left(u \in H^1(\Delta(A_y))\right).$$

However, in practice the appropriate space is not $H^1(\Delta(A_y))$ but its closed subspace

$$H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C} = \left\{u \in H^1(\Delta(A_y)) : \int_{\Delta(A_y)} u(s)\, d\beta(s) = 0\right\}$$

equipped with the seminorm

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|^2_{L^2(\Delta(A_y))}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \left(u \in H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}\right).$$

Unfortunately, the pre-Hilbert space $H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}$ is in general nonseparated and noncomplete. We introduce the separated completion, $H^1_\#(\Delta(A_y))$, of $H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}$, and the canonical mapping J_y of $H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}$ into its separated completion. See [17] (and in particular Remark 2.4 and Proposition 2.6 there) for more details.

In the sequel, we assume also A_τ^∞ to be dense in A_τ, where A_τ^∞ is the space of $w \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\tau)$ such that

$$\frac{d^\alpha w}{d\tau^\alpha} \in A_\tau \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We will now recall the notion of Σ-convergence in the present context. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, and let E be as in Section 2.

Definition 3.1. *A sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in E} \subset L^p(Q)$ is said to:*
(i) weakly Σ-converge in $L^p(Q)$ to some $u_0 \in L^p(Q \times \Delta(A)) = L^p(Q; L^p(\Delta(A)))$ if as $E \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_Q u_\varepsilon(x)\,\psi^\varepsilon(x,t)\,dxdt \to \int\int_{Q\times\Delta(A)} u_0(x,t,s,s_0)\,\widehat{\psi}(x,s,s_0)\,dxdtd\beta(s,s_0)$$

for all $\psi \in L^{p'}(Q;A)$ $\left(\frac{1}{p'} = 1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$, where ψ^ε is as in Definition 2.1, and where $\widehat{\psi}(x,t,.,.) = \mathcal{G}(\psi(x,t,.,.))$ a.e. in $(x,t) \in Q$;
(ii) stronly Σ-converge in $L^p(Q)$ to some $u_0 \in L^p(Q \times \Delta(A))$ if the following property is verified:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Given } \eta > 0 \text{ and } v \in L^p(Q;A) \text{ with} \\ \|u_0 - \widehat{v}\|_{L^p(Q\times\Delta(A))} \leq \frac{\eta}{2}, \text{ there is some } \alpha > 0 \\ \quad \text{such that } \|u_\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon\|_{L^p(Q)} \leq \eta \text{ provided } E \ni \varepsilon \leq \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.1. *The existence of such v's as in (ii) results from the density of $L^p(Q;\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A)))$ in $L^p(Q;L^p(\Delta(A)))$.*

We will use the same notation as in Section 2 to briefly express weak and strong Σ-convergence.

Theorem 2.1 (together with its proof) carries over to the present setting. Instead of Theorem 2.2, we have here the following notion.

Definition 3.2. *The H-algebra A is said to be quasi-proper if the following conditions are fulfilled.*
$(QPR)_1$ $\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A_y))$ is dense in $H^1(\Delta(A_y))$.
$(QPR)_2$ Given a fundamental sequence E, and a sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ which is bounded in $\mathcal{Y}(0,T)$, one can extract a subsequence E' from E such that as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, we have $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $\mathcal{Y}(0,T)$-weak and $\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} + \partial_j u_1$ in $L^2(Q)$-weak Σ $(1 \leq j \leq N)$, where $u_0 \in \mathcal{Y}(0,T)$, $u_1 \in L^2\left(Q; L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H^1_\#(\Delta(A_y))\right)\right)$.

The H-algebra $A = \mathcal{C}_{per}(Y \times Z)$ (see Section 2) is quasi-proper. Other examples of quasi-proper H-algebras can be found in [24].

Having made the above preliminaries, let us turn now to the statement of the general deterministic homogenization problem for (1.3)-(1.6). For this purpose, let $\Xi^2\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ be the space of functions $u \in L^2_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{\Xi^2} = \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1}\left(\int_{B_N}\left|u\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where B_N denotes the open unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Ξ^2 is a complex vector space, and the mapping $u \to \|u\|_{\Xi^2}$, denoted by $\|.\|_{\Xi^2}$, is a norm on Ξ^2 which makes it a Banach space (this is a simple exercise left to the reader). We define $\mathfrak{X}^2_y$ and $\mathfrak{X}^2$ to be the closure of A_y and A in $\Xi^2\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ and $\Xi^2\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)$ respectively. We provide $\mathfrak{X}^2_y$ (resp.

$\mathfrak{X}^2$) with the $\Xi^2\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$-norm (resp. $\Xi^2\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)$-norm), which makes it a Banach space.

Remark 3.2. *Any function $u \in \mathfrak{X}_y^2$ can be consider as a function in $\mathfrak{X}^2$ which is independent of the variable τ. Indeed, let $u \in \mathfrak{X}_y^p$ and $\eta > 0$. There exists a function $v \in A_y$ such that*

$$\|u - v\|_{\Xi^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \frac{\eta}{2}, \text{ i.e., } \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1}\left(\int_{B_N} |u^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon|^p \, dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

but $v = v \otimes 1 \in A_y \otimes A_\tau \subset A$ and

$$\int_{B_{N+1}} |u^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon|^p \, dyd\tau \leq 2\int_{B_N} |u^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon|^p \, dy \leq 2\|u - v\|_{\Xi^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

It follows from the preceding inequalities that $u \in \Xi^p\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)$ and $\|u - v\|_{\Xi^p(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \leq \eta$.

Our main purpose in the present section is to discuss the homogenization of (1.3)-(1.6) under the assumption

$$a_{ij} \in \mathfrak{X}^2 \ \ (1 \leq i, j \leq N). \tag{3.1}$$

As is pointed out in [17], [18] and [19], assumption (3.1) covers a great variety of concrete behaviors. In particular, (3.1) generalizes the usual periodicity hypothesis (see Section 2). Indeed, for $A = \mathcal{C}_{per}(Y \times Z)$, we have $\mathfrak{X}^2 = L^2_{per}(Y \times Z)$ (use Lemma 1 of [16]).

The approach we follow here is analogous to the one which was presented in Section 2. Throughout the rest of the section, it is assumed that (3.1) is satisfied, and A, the closure of $A_y \otimes A_\tau$ in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}_y^N \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$ is quasi-proper.

3.2. **A global homogenization theorem.** We need a few preliminaries. To begin, we set

$$\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \left(\mathcal{G}\left(\psi^i\right)\right)_{1\leq i\leq N}$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\psi} = \left(\psi^i\right)$ with $\psi^i \in A$ $(1 \leq i \leq N)$. We have $\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta(A))^N$, and the transformation $\boldsymbol{\psi} \to \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ of A^N into $\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A))^N$ maps in particular $(A_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty)^N$ isomorphically onto $\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A);\mathbb{R})^N$, where we denote

$$A_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty = A^\infty \cap \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^N;\mathbb{R}\right).$$

Likewise, letting $\mathbf{J}_y(\mathbf{u}) = \left(J_y\left(u^i\right)\right)_{1\leq i\leq N}$ for $\mathbf{u} = \left(u^i\right)$ with $u^i \in H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}$ $(1 \leq i \leq N)$, we have $\mathbf{J}_y(\mathbf{u}) \in H^1_\#(\Delta(A_y))^N$ and the transformation $\mathbf{u} \to \mathbf{J}_y(\mathbf{u})$ of $\left[H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}\right]^N$ into $H^1_\#(\Delta(A_y))^N$ maps in particular $\left[H^1(\Delta(A_y);\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{C}\right]^N$ isometrically into $H^1_\#(\Delta(A_y);\mathbb{R})^N$, where we denote

$$H^1_\#(\Delta(A_y);\mathbb{R}) = \left\{u \in H^1_\#(\Delta(A_y)) : \partial_i u \in L^2(\Delta(A_y);\mathbb{R}) \ \ (1 \leq i \leq N)\right\}.$$

For any $u \in L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}\right)$, we put

$$J(u)(s_0) = J_y(u(s_0)) \quad (s_0 \in \Delta(A_\tau)).$$

This defines a continuous linear mapping J of
$L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}\right)$ into $L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H^1_\#(\Delta(A_y))\right)$ with the equality

$$J(\chi \otimes v) = \chi \otimes J_y(v) \text{ for } \chi \in L^2(\Delta(A_\tau)) \text{ and } v \in H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}.$$

Furthermore, letting $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{u}) = \left(J\left(u^i\right)\right)$ for $\mathbf{u} = \left(u^i\right)$ with $u^i \in L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}\right)$ $(1 \leq i \leq N)$, we have $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{u}) \in L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H^1_{\#}(\Delta(A_y))^N\right)$ and the transformation $\mathbf{u} \to \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{u})$ of $L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); \left[H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}\right]^N\right)$ into $L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H^1_{\#}(\Delta(A_y))^N\right)$ maps in particular $L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); \left[H^1(\Delta(A_y); \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{C}\right]^N\right)$ isometrically into $L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H^1_{\#}(\Delta(A_y); \mathbb{R})^N\right)$.

We will set

$$\mathbb{E}^1_0 = L^2\left(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R})^N\right) \times L^2\left(Q; L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H^1_{\#}(\Delta(A_y); \mathbb{R})^N\right)\right),$$

$$\mathcal{E}^\infty_0 = \mathcal{D}(Q; \mathbb{R})^N \times \left(\mathcal{D}(Q; \mathbb{R}) \otimes \left[\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A_\tau); \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbf{J}_y\left[\left(\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A); \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{C}\right)^N\right]\right]\right),$$

where $\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A_y); \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{C} = \mathcal{D}(\Delta(A_y); \mathbb{R}) \cap \left[H^1(\Delta(A_y))/\mathbb{C}\right]$. $\mathbb{E}^1_0$ is topologized in an obvious way and $\mathcal{E}^\infty_0$ is considered without topology. It is clear that $\mathcal{E}^\infty_0$ is dense in $\mathbb{E}^1_0$.

At the present time, let us consider the vector space

$$\mathbb{U}^1_0 = H^1_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R})^N \times L^2\left(\Omega; L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H^1_{\#}(\Delta(A_y); \mathbb{R})^N\right)\right)$$

topologized in an obvious way. We put

$$\widehat{a}_\Omega(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^N \int\int_{\Omega \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{a}_{ij}\left(\frac{\partial u^k_0}{\partial x_j} + \partial_j u^k_1\right)\left(\frac{\partial v^k_0}{\partial x_i} + \partial_i v^k_1\right) dx d\beta$$

for $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1)$ in $\mathbb{U}^1_0$ with, of course, $\mathbf{u}_0 = \left(\mathbf{u}^k_0\right)$, $\mathbf{u}_1 = \left(\mathbf{u}^k_1\right)$ (and analogous expressions for $\mathbf{v}_0$ and $\mathbf{v}_1$), where $\widehat{a}_{ij} = \mathcal{G}(a_{ij})$. This gives a bilinear form $\widehat{a}_\Omega$ on $\mathbb{U}^1_0 \times \mathbb{U}^1_0$, which is symmetric, continuous, and coercive (see [17]).

Now, let

$$V_{A_y} = \left\{\mathbf{u} = \left(u^i\right) \in H^1_{\#}(\Delta(A_y); \mathbb{R})^N : \widehat{div}\,\mathbf{u} = 0\right\},$$

where

$$\widehat{div}\,\mathbf{u} = \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i u^i.$$

Equipped with the $H^1_{\#}(\Delta(A_y))^N$-norm, V_{A_y} is a Hilbert space. We next put

$$\mathbb{F}^1_0 = L^2(0, T; V) \times L^2\left(Q; L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); V_{A_y}\right)\right)$$

provided with an obvious norm. It is an easy exercise to check that Lemma 2.3 together with its proof can be carried over mutatis mutandis to the present setting. This leads us to the analogue of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose (3.1) holds and further A is quasi-proper. On the other hand, suppose that the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied. For each real $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = \left(u^k_\varepsilon\right)$ be defined by (1.3)-(1.6). Then, as $\varepsilon \to 0$,*

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{u}_0 \text{ in } \mathcal{W}(0, T)\text{-weak}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial u^k_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \to \frac{\partial u^k_0}{\partial x_j} + \partial_j u^k_1 \text{ in } L^2(Q)\text{-weak } \Sigma \ \ (1 \leq j, k \leq N), \tag{3.3}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ [with $\mathbf{u}_0 = \left(\mathbf{u}^k_0\right)$ and $\mathbf{u}_1 = \left(\mathbf{u}^k_1\right)$] is the unique solution of (2.34)-(2.35).

Proof. This is an adaptation of the proof of Theorem 2.3 and we will not go too deeply into details. Starting from (2.11)-(2.13), we see that the generalized sequences $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)_{0<\varepsilon<1}$ and $(p_\varepsilon)_{0<\varepsilon<1}$ are bounded in $\mathcal{W}(0,T)$ and $L^2(Q)$, respectively. Moreover, for $1 \leq k \leq N$, the sequence $\left(u_\varepsilon^k\right)_{0<\varepsilon<1}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{Y}(0,T)$. Hence, from any given fundamental sequence E one can extract a subsequence E' such that as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, we have (2.43), (3.2) and (3.3), where p lies in $L^2\left(Q; L^2(\Delta(A);\mathbb{R})\right)$ and $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ lies in $\mathbb{F}_0^1$ with (2.34).

Now, for each real $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon = \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 + \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\psi}_1^\varepsilon \text{ with} \\ \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 \in \mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R})^N,\ \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \in \mathcal{D}(Q;\mathbb{R}) \otimes \left[{}_{\mathbb{R}}A_\tau^\infty \otimes \left({}_{\mathbb{R}}A_y^\infty/\mathbb{C}\right)^N\right] \end{array} \right. \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \mathbf{J}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1\right)\right),$$

where: ${}_{\mathbb{R}}A_y^\infty/\mathbb{C} = \left\{\psi \in A_y^\infty : M(\psi) = 0\right\}$, ${}_{\mathbb{R}}A_\tau^\infty = \{w \in A_\tau^\infty : M(w) = 0\}$, $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1$ stands for the function
$(x,t) \to \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_1(x,t,.))$ of Q into $\left[\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A);\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{C}\right]^N$ ($\boldsymbol{\psi}_1$ being viewed as a function in $\mathcal{C}\left(Q; A^N\right)$), $\mathbf{J}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1\right)$ stands for the function $(x,t) \to \mathbf{J}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1(x,t,.)\right)$ of Q into $L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H_\#^1(\Delta(A_y);\mathbb{R})^N\right)$. It is clear that $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \in \mathcal{E}_0^\infty$. With this in mind, we can pass to the limit in (2.45) (with $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\varepsilon$ given by (3.4)) as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, and we obtain

$$\begin{array}{c} \int_0^T \left(\mathbf{u}_0'(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t)\right) dt + \int_0^T \widehat{a}_\Omega\left(\mathbf{u}(t), \boldsymbol{\Phi}(t)\right) dt + \int_0^T b\left(\mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t)\right) dt \\ - \int\int_{Q\times\Delta(A)} p\left(div\boldsymbol{\psi}_0 + \widehat{div}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1\right) dxdt = \int_0^T \left(\mathbf{f}(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t)\right) dt. \end{array}$$

Therefore, thanks to the density of $\mathcal{E}_0^\infty$ in $\mathbb{E}_0^1$,

$$\begin{array}{c} \int_0^T \left(\mathbf{u}_0'(t), \mathbf{v}_0(t)\right) dt + \int_0^T \widehat{a}_\Omega\left(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}(t)\right) dt + \int_0^T b\left(\mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_0(t)\right) dt \\ - \int\int_{Q\times\Delta(A)} p\left(div\mathbf{v}_0 + \widehat{div}\mathbf{v}_1\right) dxdt = \int_0^T \left(\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{v}_0(t)\right) dt, \end{array} \tag{3.5}$$

and that for all $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) \in \mathbb{E}_0^1$. Taking in particular $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_0^1$ leads us immediately to (2.35). Hence the theorem follows by the same argument as used in the proof of Theorem 2.3. □

As was pointed out in Section 2, it is of interest to give a suitable representation of $\mathbf{u}_1$ (in Theorem 3.1). To this end, let

$$\widehat{a}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^N \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{a}_{ij} \partial_j v^k \partial_i w^k d\beta$$

for $\mathbf{v} = \left(v^k\right)$ and $\mathbf{w} = \left(w^k\right)$ in $L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H_\#^1(\Delta(A_y);\mathbb{R})^N\right)$. This defines a bilinear form $\widehat{a}$ on $L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H_\#^1(\Delta(A_y);\mathbb{R})^N\right) \times L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); H_\#^1(\Delta(A_y);\mathbb{R})^N\right)$, which is symmetric, continuous and coercive. For each couple of indices $1 \leq i,k \leq N$, we consider the variational problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik} \in L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); V_{A_y}\right) : \\ \widehat{a}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{l=1}^N \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{a}_{li} \partial_l w^k d\beta \\ \text{for all } \mathbf{w} = \left(w^k\right) \in L^2\left(\Delta(A_\tau); V_{A_y}\right), \end{array} \right. \tag{3.6}$$

which uniquely determines $\boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Under the assumptions and notation of Theorem 3.1, we have*

$$\mathbf{u}_1(x,t,s,s_0) = -\sum_{i,k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_i}(x,t)\,\boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik}(s,s_0) \tag{3.7}$$

almost everywhere in $(x,t,s,s_0) \in Q \times \Delta(A) = \Omega \times]0,T[\times \Delta(A_y) \times \Delta(A_\tau)$.

Proof. This is a simple adaptation of the proof of Lemma 2.4; the verification is left to the reader. □

3.3. **Macroscopic homogenized equations.** The aim here is to derive from (3.5) a well-posed initial boundary value problem for the couple $(\mathbf{u}_0, p_0)$, where $\mathbf{u}_0$ is the weak limit in (3.2) and p_0 is the mean of p (in (3.5)), i.e., $p_0(x,t) = \int_{\Delta(A)} p(x,t,s,s_0)\,d\beta(s,s_0)$ for $(x,t) \in Q$. We will proceed exactly as in Subsection 2.3.

First, for $1 \le i,j,k,h \le N$, let

$$q_{ijkh} = \delta_{kh} \int_{\Delta(A_y)} \widehat{a}_{ij}(s)\,d\beta_y(s) - \sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{a}_{il}(s)\,\partial_l \chi_{jh}^k(s,s_0)\,d\beta(s,s_0),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\chi}_{jh} = \left(\chi_{jh}^k\right)$ is defined as in (3.6). To these coefficients we associate the differential operator $\mathcal{Q}$ on Q given by (2.52). Finally, we consider the boundary value problem (2.53)-(2.56).

Lemma 3.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, the boundary value problem (2.53)-(2.56) admits at most one weak solution* $(\mathbf{u}_0, p_0)$ *with* $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathcal{W}(0,T)$, $p_0 \in L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$.

Proof. It is an easy exercise to show that if a
couple $(\mathbf{u}_0, p_0) \in \mathcal{W}(0,T) \times L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$ is a solution of (2.53)-(2.56), then the couple $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ [in which $\mathbf{u}_1$ is given by (3.7)] satisfies (2.34)-(2.35) and is therefore unique. Hence Lemma 3.2 follows at once. □

We are now in a position to state and prove

Theorem 3.2. *Let the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 be satisfied. For each real* $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, *let* $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{W}(0,T) \times L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$ *be defined by (1.3)-(1.6). Then, as* $\varepsilon \to 0$, *we have* $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{u}_0$ *in* $\mathcal{W}(0,T)$*-weak and* $p_\varepsilon \to p_0$ *in* $L^2(Q)$*-weak, where the couple* $(\mathbf{u}_0, p_0)$ *lies in* $\mathcal{W}(0,T) \times L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$ *and is the unique weak solution of (2.53)-(2.56).*

Proof. As was pointed out above, from any arbitrarily given fundamental sequence E one can extract a subsequence E' such that as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, we have (3.2)-(3.3) and (2.43) hence $p_\varepsilon \to p_0$ in $L^2(Q)$-weak, where p_0 is the mean of p and thus $p_0 \in L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}\right)$, and where $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1) \in \mathbb{F}_0^1$. Furthermore, (3.5) holds for all $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) \in \mathbb{E}_0^1$. Substituting (3.7) in (3.5) and then choosing therein the particular test functions $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) \in \mathbb{E}_0^1$ with $\mathbf{v}_1 = 0$ leads to Theorem 3.2, thanks to Lemma 3.2. □

We can present q_{ijkh} in a suitable form as in Remark 2.2. For this purpose, we introduce the space $\mathcal{M}$ of all $N \times N$ matrix functions with entries in $L^2(\Delta(A);\mathbb{R})$.

Specifically $\mathcal{M}$ denotes the space of $\mathbf{F}=\left(F^{ij}\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq N}$ with $F^{ij}\in L^2\left(\Delta\left(A\right);\mathbb{R}\right)$. Provided with the norm

$$\left\|\mathbf{F}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}}=\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}\left\|F^{ij}\right\|_{L^2(\Delta(A))}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\ \mathbf{F}=\left(F^{ij}\right)\in\mathcal{M},$$

$\mathcal{M}$ is a Hilbert space. Now, let

$$\mathcal{A}\left(\mathbf{F},\mathbf{G}\right)=\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N}\int_{\Delta(A)}\widehat{a}_{ij}\left(s\right)F^{jk}\left(s,s_0\right)G^{ik}\left(s,s_0\right)d\beta\left(s,s_0\right)$$

for $\mathbf{F}=\left(F^{jk}\right)$ and $\mathbf{G}=\left(G^{ik}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}$. This gives a bilinear form $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{M}$, which is symmetric, continuous and coercive. Furthermore

$$\widehat{a}\left(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}\right)=\mathcal{A}\left(\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u},\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{v}\right),\ \mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}\in L^2\left(\Delta\left(A_\tau\right);H^1_{\#}\left(\Delta\left(A_y\right);\mathbb{R}\right)^N\right),$$

where $\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}=\left(\partial_j u^k\right)$ for any $\mathbf{u}=\left(u^k\right)\in L^2\left(\Delta\left(A_\tau\right);H^1_{\#}\left(\Delta\left(A_y\right);\mathbb{R}\right)^N\right)$. Now, by the same line of proceeding as followed in [4] (see also [15]) one can quickly show that

$$q_{ijkh}=\mathcal{A}\left(\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ik},\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\chi}_{jh}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{jh}\right),$$

where, for any couple of indices $1\leq i,k\leq N$, $\boldsymbol{\chi}_{ik}$ is defined by (3.6), and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ik}=\left(\theta_{ik}^{lm}\right)\in\mathcal{M}$ with $\theta_{ik}^{lm}=\delta_{il}\delta_{km}$. Having made this point, Remark 2.2 can then be carried over to the present setting.

3.4. **Some concrete examples.** In the present subsection we consider a few examples of homogenization problems for (1.3)-(1.6) in a concrete setting (as opposed to the abstract assumption (3.1)) and we show how their study leads to the abstract setting of Subsection 3.1 and so we may conclude by merely applying Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

Example 1. *(Almost periodic homogenization). We study here the homogenization of (1.3)-(1.6) under the concrete hypothesis that the family $(a_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq N}$ verifies:*

$$a_{ij}\in L^2_{AP}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)\quad\left(1\leq i,j\leq N\right), \tag{3.8}$$

where $L^2_{AP}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ denotes the space of all functions $w\in L^2_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ that are almost periodic in the sense of Stepanoff (see, e.g., [24, Section 4]*). According to* [24, Proposition 4.1]*, the hypothesis (3.8) yields a countable subgroup $\mathcal{R}_y$ of $\mathbb{R}^N_y$ such that $a_{ij}\in L^2_{AP,\mathcal{R}_y}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ $(1\leq i,j\leq N)$, where*

$L^2_{AP,\mathcal{R}_y}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)=\left\{u\in L^2_{AP}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right):Sp\left(u\right)\subset\mathcal{R}_y\right\}$, $Sp\left(u\right)$ being the spectrum of u, i.e., $Sp\left(u\right)=\left\{k\in\mathbb{R}^N:M\left(u\overline{\gamma}_k\right)\neq 0\right\}$ with $\gamma_k\left(y\right)=\exp\left(2i\pi k.y\right)$ $\left(y\in\mathbb{R}^N\right)$. Let us consider the H-algebra

$A_y=AP_{\mathcal{R}_y}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)=\left\{u\in AP\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right):Sp\left(u\right)\subset\mathcal{R}_y\right\}$, where $AP\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ denotes the space of almost periodic continuous complex functions on $\mathbb{R}^N_y$ (see, e.g., [7, Chapter 5] *and* [9, Chapter 10]*). We have*

$$a_{ij}\in L^2_{AP,\mathcal{R}_y}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)\subset\mathfrak{X}^2_y\ \left(1\leq i,j\leq N\right)$$

as it can be seen by using [16, Lemma 1]*. In view of Remark 3.2, we consider the a_{ij} as functions in $\mathfrak{X}^2$ which are independent of the variable τ. We see that for any countable subgoup $\mathcal{R}_\tau$ of $\mathbb{R}_\tau$, we have (3.1) with $A_y=AP_{\mathcal{R}_y}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ and*

$A_\tau = AP_{\mathcal{R}_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau)$ *where* $AP_{\mathcal{R}_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau) = \{u \in AP(\mathbb{R}_\tau) : Sp(u) \subset \mathcal{R}_\tau\}$. *Moreover, for* $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_y \times \mathcal{R}_\tau$, $AP_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$ *coincides with the closure of* $AP_{\mathcal{R}_y}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right) \otimes AP_{\mathcal{R}_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau)$ *in* $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$ *(see* [17, Proposition 3.2]*), hence* $A = AP_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$. *On the other hand, by virtue of* [24, Proposition 3.2]*, the* H*-algebra* A *is quasi-proper. Thus, the homogenization of (1.3)-(1.6) follows.*

Example 2. *Let* $Y' = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^{N-1}$. *We study the homogenization of (1.3)-(1.6) under the following hypothesis:*

$$a_{ij} \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; L^2_{per}(Y')\right) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N) \tag{3.9}$$

where $L^2_{per}(Y')$ *is the space of functions in* $L^2_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}\right)$ *that are* Y'*-periodic. Let* $\mathcal{C}_{per}(Y')$ *denotes the space of* Y'*-periodic complex continuous functions on* $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. *Let us consider the* H*-algebra* $A_y = \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{per}(Y'))$. *We recall that* $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{per}(Y'))$ *is the space of continuous functions* $\psi : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ *such that the mapping* $y_N \to \psi(., y_N)$ *send continuously* $\mathbb{R}$ *into* $\mathcal{C}_{per}(Y')$ *and* $\psi(., y_N)$ *has a limit in* $\mathcal{C}_{per}(Y')$ *(with the norm* $\|\cdot\|_\infty$*) as* $|y_N| \to +\infty$. *Under the hypothesis (3.9), the condition (3.1) is satisfied with* $A = \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\tau; A_y)$, *where* $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\tau; A_y)$ *is the space of functions* $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ *such that the mapping* $\tau \to \varphi(., \tau)$ *send continuously* $\mathbb{R}$ *into* A_y *and* $\varphi(., \tau)$ *has a limit in* A_y *(with the norm* $\|\cdot\|_\infty$*) as* $|\tau| \to +\infty$. *Indeed, on one hand the space* $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{per}(Y'))$ *is contained in* $A_y = \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{per}(Y'))$, *and* $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{per}(Y'))$ *is dense in* $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; L^2_{per}(Y')\right)$ *as it's easily seen by using the fact that* $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_{per}(Y')$ *are dense in* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $L^2_{per}(Y')$ *respectively. On the other hand, let* $\left(L^2, \ell^\infty\right)$ *be the space of all* $u \in L^2_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ *such that*

$$\|u\|_{2,\infty} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \left[\int_{k+Y} |u(y)|^2 \, dy\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where $Y = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^N$. *This is a Banach space under the norm* $\|.\|_{2,\infty}$. $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; L^2_{per}(Y')\right)$ *is continuously embedded in* $\left(L^2, \ell^\infty\right)\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ *and the later is continuously embedded in* $\Xi^2\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$, *thus* $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; L^2_{per}(Y')\right)$ *is continuously embedded* $\Xi^2\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$. *It follows that* $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; L^2_{per}(Y')\right) \subset \mathfrak{X}^2_y$. *Thus, by Remark 3.2, we see that (3.9) implies (3.1) for the H-algèbre* A, *closure of* $A_y \otimes \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\tau)$ *in* $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$ *(*A_τ *is here* $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\tau)$*, the space of continuous functions* $w : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $w(\tau)$ *has a limit in* $\mathbb{C}$ *as* $|\tau| \to +\infty$*). Further,* $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\tau; A_y)$ *coincides with the closure of* $A_y \otimes \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\tau)$ *in* $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$, *hence* $A = \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\tau; A_y)$. *Moreover if we denote by* A_{2y} *the closure of* $\mathcal{C}_{per}(Y') \otimes \mathbb{C}$ *in* $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$, *then the paire* $\{A_y, A_{2y}\}$ *satisfies the hypothesis (**H**) of* [25, Subsection 4.1] *by virtue of the proof in* [17, Corollary 4.4]. *But,* $A_{2y} = AP_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ *with* $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}^{N-1} \times \{0\}$, *and* $A_2 = \mathcal{B}_\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_\tau; AP_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)\right)$ *is quasi-proper (see* [24, Example 3.1]*). Therefore, by* [25, Proposition 4.1] *we see that* A *is quasi-proper. Hence, the homogenization of (1.3)-(1.6) follows by Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.*

Example 3. *Let us suppose that*

$$a_{ij} \in L^2_{\infty,AP}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N), \tag{3.10}$$

where $L^2_{\infty,AP}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ *denotes the closure in* $\left(L^2, \ell^\infty\right)\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ *of the space of finite sums* $\sum_{finite} \varphi_i u_i$ *with* $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{B}_\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ *and* $u_i \in AP\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$. *We have the continuous embedding of* $L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ *in* $\left(L^2, \ell^\infty\right)\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$, *thus*

$$a_{ij} \in \left(L^2, \ell^\infty\right)\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right) \qquad (1 \leq i, j \leq N).$$

One can also see that $L^2_{\infty,AP}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ *coincides with the closure of* $\mathcal{B}_\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)+AP\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ *in* $\left(L^2,\ell^\infty\right)\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$. *Let* $1\leq i,j\leq N$. *By density there exists a sequence* $\left(a_n^{ij}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *defined by* $a_n^{ij}=b_n^{ij}+c_n^{ij}$ *with* $b_n^{ij}\in\mathcal{B}_\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ *and* $c_n^{ij}\in AP\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ *such that*

$$a_n^{ij}\to a_{ij}\ \text{in}\ \left(L^2,l^\infty\right)\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)\ \text{as}\ n\to\infty. \tag{3.11}$$

The family $\left\{c_n^{ij}:1\leq i,j\leq N\ \text{and}\ n\in\mathbb{N}\right\}$ *is a countable set in* $AP\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$, *thus by* [15, Proposition 5.1] *there exists a countable subgroup* $\mathcal{R}$ *of* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *such that*

$$\left\{c_n^{ij}:1\leq i,j\leq N\ \text{and}\ n\in\mathbb{N}\right\}\subset AP_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right).$$

Then the sequence $\left(a_n^{ij}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *lies in* $\mathcal{B}_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$, *where* $\mathcal{B}_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ *denotes the closure in* $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ *of* $\mathcal{B}_\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)+AP_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$. *We denote by* $L^2_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ *the closure in* $\left(L^2,\ell^\infty\right)\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ *of* $\mathcal{B}_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$. *It follows from (3.11) that*

$$a_{ij}\in L^2_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)\ \ (1\leq i,j\leq N). \tag{3.12}$$

Let $A_y=\mathcal{B}_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ *and* $A_\tau=\mathcal{B}_\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$. *We denote by* A *the closure of* $A_y\otimes A_\tau$ *in* $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\times\mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$. *As* $\left(L^2,\ell^\infty\right)\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)$ *is continuously embedded in* $\Xi^2\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$, *we have* $L^2_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)\subset\mathfrak{X}^2_y$. *Il follows from (3.12) and Remark 3.2 that (3.1) is satisfied for* A. *Moreover, the paire* $\left\{A_{2y}=AP_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right),\ A_y=\mathcal{B}_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\right)\right\}$ *satisfies the hypothesis* (***H***) *of* [25, Subsection 4.1] *(see the proof of* [15, Corollary 4.2]*). Furthermore, the H-algebra* A_2 *closure of* $A_{2y}\otimes A_\tau$ *in* $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y\times\mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$ *is quasi-proper (see Example 1). Thus, by virtue of* [25, Proposition 4.1] A *is quasi-proper. Therefore, the homogenization of (1.3)-(1.6) follows by Theorems 3.1-3.2.*

4. Homogenization of unsteady Navier-Stokes equations in periodic porous media

The basic notation and hypotheses are those which are stated in Section 1, especially in *Problem* II. Throughout the present section, vector spaces are considered over $\mathbb{R}$ and scalar functions are assumed to take real values. Thus, for the sake of convenience, we will put $\mathcal{C}(X)=\mathcal{C}(X;\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{B}(X)=\mathcal{B}(X;\mathbb{R})$, $L^p(X)=L^p(X;\mathbb{R})$, $H^1(X)=H^1(X;\mathbb{R})$, etc., X being an open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

The purpose here is to investigate the asymptotic behaviour, as $\varepsilon\to 0$, of the solution, $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon,p_\varepsilon)$, of (1.7)-(1.10) with $N=2$. As was mentioned earlier, the hypothesis $N=2$ guarantees the unicity in (1.7)-(1.10).

4.1. **Preliminaries.** Before we can study the asymptotic behavior of $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ and p_ε as $\varepsilon\to 0$, we require a few basic results.

Lemma 4.1. *(Friedrichs inequality). There is a constant* $c=c(Y_f)>0$ *such that*

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}|u|^2\,dx\leq c\varepsilon^2\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}|\nabla u|^2\,dx \tag{4.1}$$

for all $u\in H^1_0(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ *and all real* $0<\varepsilon<1$.

Proof. See [23] □

Now, if $\mathbf{w}=\left(w^k\right)_{1\leq k\leq N}$ with $w^k\in L^p(\mathcal{O})$, or if $\mathbf{w}=\left(w^{ij}\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq N}$ with $w^{ij}\in L^p(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$, we will sometimes write $\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{O})}$ for $\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{O})^N}$ or for $\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{O})^{N\times N}}$. This abuse is convenient and in common use.

The next lemma will allow us study the behaviour of the pressure p_ε.

Lemma 4.2. *There exists a linear operator* $R_\varepsilon : H_0^1(\Omega)^N \to H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)^N$ *with the following properties:*
(P_1*) If* $\mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^N$ *and* $\mathbf{w}$ *is zero on* $\Omega \backslash \Omega_\varepsilon$*, then* $R_\varepsilon \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}|_{\Omega_\varepsilon}$.
(P_2*) If* $\mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^N$ *and* $div\mathbf{w} = 0$*, then* $divR_\varepsilon \mathbf{w} = 0$.
(P_3*) There is a constant* $c > 0$ *(independent of* $\mathbf{w}$ *and* ε*, as well) such that*

$$\|R_\varepsilon \mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq c \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + c\varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\|\nabla R_\varepsilon \mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + c \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{4.3}$$

for all $\mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^N$ *and all* $0 < \varepsilon < 1$*, where* $\nabla \mathbf{w} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial x_i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$.

Proof. See [23] □

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that* $\mathbf{f},\ \mathbf{f}' \in L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega)^N\right)$ *and* $\mathbf{f}(0) \in L^2(\Omega)^N$. *Let* $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ *be the solution of (1.7)-(1.10). Let* $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ *be identified with its extension by zero in* $(\Omega \backslash \Omega_\varepsilon) \times]0,T[$*, which lies in* $L^2\left(0,T; H_0^1(\Omega)^N\right)$. *The following assertions are true.*
There is a constant $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C\varepsilon,\ \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C\varepsilon^2 \text{ and } \left\|\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C \quad (0 < \varepsilon < 1). \tag{4.4}$$

For each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$*, there is a unique* $\overline{p}_\varepsilon \in L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}\right)$ *such that*

$$\int_\Omega \overline{p}_\varepsilon div \mathbf{w} dx = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} p_\varepsilon div\left(R_\varepsilon \mathbf{w}\right) dx \quad \left(\mathbf{w} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^N\right) \tag{4.5}$$

for almost all $t \in [0,T]$.

Furthermore,

$$\frac{\partial \overline{p}_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i}|_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times]0,T[} = \frac{\partial p_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \quad (1 \leq i \leq N) \tag{4.6}$$

in the sense of distributions on $\Omega_\varepsilon \times]0,T[$,

$$\|\nabla \overline{p}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2\left(0,T; H^{-1}(\Omega)^N\right)} \leq C \text{ and } \|\overline{p}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C \qquad (0 < \varepsilon < 1) \tag{4.7}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ *is independent of* ε.

Proof. Let us prove the inequalities in (4.4). By (1.7) we have

$$\left(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon'(t), \mathbf{v}\right) + \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} dx + b\left(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}\right) = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v} dx \tag{4.8}$$

for all $\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)^N$ with $div\mathbf{v} = 0$, where the dot stands for the usual Euclidean inner product. Choosing the particular test function $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)$ and noting that

$$b\left(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right) = 0 \text{ (see, e.g., [31, p.163])},$$

we get immediately

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 + 2\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 = 2 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}(t) \cdot \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t) dx \text{ in }]0,T[.$$

Integrating on $[0,t]$ (with $t \in [0,T]$) the preceding equality, we arrive at

(4.9)
$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + 2\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \leq 2 \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(Q)} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \text{ in }]0,T[.$$

From (4.9) we get

$$\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \leq \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(Q)} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))}.$$

Therefore, using Lemma 4.1 in the preceding inequality leads to

(4.10)
$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \leq \frac{c\varepsilon}{\nu} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(Q)} \text{ and } \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \leq \frac{c^2\varepsilon^2}{\nu} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(Q)},$$

c being the constant in (4.1). On the other hand, let us differentiate (4.8) in the distribution sense on $]0,T[$. We have

$$(\mathbf{u}''_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}) + \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} dx + b(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}'(t) \cdot \mathbf{v} dx$$

for all $\mathbf{v} \in H^1_0(\Omega_\varepsilon)^N$ with $div \mathbf{v} = 0$ and in particular for $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)$,

(4.11)
$$(\mathbf{u}''_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)) + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + b(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)) = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}'(t) \cdot \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t) dx.$$

In fact, since $\mathbf{f}$, $\mathbf{f}' \in L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega)^N\right)$ and $\mathbf{f}(0) \in L^2(\Omega)^N$, $\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon$ belongs to $L^2(0,T;V) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H)$ by virtue of Lemma 2.2, and further we recall that $b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)) = 0$. Moreover, by (4.8) we get

$$\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t) dx + b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)) = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}(t) \cdot \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t) dx$$

and in particular

$$\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}(0) \cdot \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(0) dx.$$

This implies

$$\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \|\mathbf{f}(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{4.12}$$

On the other hand, by (4.11) we have

(4.13)
$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + 2\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + 2b(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)) = 2\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}'(t) \cdot \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t) dx.$$

Further, by virtue of (2.7) of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$|2b(\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t))| \leq 2^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}$$
$$\leq \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \frac{2}{\nu} \|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}.$$

Moreover,

$$2\left|\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}'(t) \cdot \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t) dx\right| \leq 2 \|\mathbf{f}'(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}$$
$$\leq 2c\varepsilon \|\mathbf{f}'(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}$$
$$\leq \frac{c^2\varepsilon^2}{\nu} \|\mathbf{f}'(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}'_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)},$$

where $c>0$ is the constant in (4.1). Therefore, by (4.13) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon'(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}-\frac{2}{\nu}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon'(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\left\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\leq\frac{c^2\varepsilon^2}{\nu}\left\|\mathbf{f}'(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\{\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon'(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\exp\left(-\int_0^t\frac{2}{\nu}\left\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}ds\right)\right\}\leq\frac{c^2\varepsilon^2}{\nu}\left\|\mathbf{f}'(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

In view of (4.12), integrating the preceding inequality on $[0,t]$ (with $t\in[0,T]$) and using the first inequality of (4.10), one quickly arrives at

$$\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon'(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\leq\left\{\left\|\mathbf{f}(0)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+\frac{c^2\varepsilon^2}{\nu}\left\|\mathbf{f}'\right\|^2_{L^2(Q)}\right\}\exp\left(\frac{2Tc^2\varepsilon^2}{\nu^3}\left\|\mathbf{f}\right\|^2_{L^2(Q)}\right).\tag{4.14}$$

It follows from (4.10), (4.12) and (4.14) that (4.4) holds for all $0<\varepsilon<1$ with an appropriate constant $C>0$.

Now, let us prove (4.5)-(4.7). By (1.7) it is clear that

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon'(t)\cdot\mathbf{v}dx+\nu\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\nabla\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}dx+b\left(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{v}\right)-\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}p_\varepsilon div\mathbf{v}dx=\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\mathbf{f}(t)\cdot\mathbf{v}dx\tag{4.15}$$

for almost all $t\in]0,T[$ and for all $\mathbf{v}\in H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)^N$. For fixed $0<\varepsilon<1$, let us consider the mapping F_ε of $L^2\left(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)^N\right)$ into $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$F_\varepsilon(\mathbf{w})=-\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}p_\varepsilon div\left(R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}\right)dx\text{ for all }\mathbf{w}\in L^2\left(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)^N\right),\tag{4.16}$$

where R_ε is the restriction operator of Lemma 4.2. It is straightforward that F_ε is a continuous linear form on $L^2\left(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)^N\right)$ and

$$\begin{array}{c}\left\langle F_\varepsilon,\mathbf{w}\right\rangle=\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon'\cdot R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}dxdt+\nu\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\nabla\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}dxdt\\+\int_0^T b\left(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}(t)\right)dt-\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\mathbf{f}\cdot R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}dxdt\end{array}$$

for all $\mathbf{w}\in L^2\left(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)^N\right)$, in view of (4.15). The aim is to estimate each of the integrals on the right of the preceding equality. By (4.2) and (4.4), we see that

$$\left|\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon'\cdot R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}dxdt\right|\leq\sqrt{2}Cc\left(\left\|\mathbf{w}\right\|_{L^2(Q)}+\varepsilon\left\|\nabla\mathbf{w}\right\|_{L^2(Q)}\right).$$

Next, combining (4.3) with (4.4) we get

$$\left|\nu\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\nabla\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}dxdt\right|\leq\sqrt{2}\nu Cc\left(\left\|\mathbf{w}\right\|_{L^2(Q)}+\varepsilon\left\|\nabla\mathbf{w}\right\|_{L^2(Q)}\right).$$

Further, by (4.2) we get immediately

$$\left|\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\mathbf{f}\cdot R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}dxdt\right|\leq\sqrt{2}c\left\|\mathbf{f}\right\|_{L^2(Q)}\left(\left\|\mathbf{w}\right\|_{L^2(Q)}+\varepsilon\left\|\nabla\mathbf{w}\right\|_{L^2(Q)}\right).$$

Finally, recalling that

$$b\left(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}(t)\right)=-b\left(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}(t),\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right),$$

it follows from (2.7) of Lemma 2.1 that

$$|b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}(t))| \le \sqrt{2}\,\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\,\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\,\|\nabla R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}.$$

Thus,

$$\left|\int_0^T b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}(t))\,dt\right| \le$$

$$\sqrt{2}\,\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))}\int_0^T \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\,\|\nabla R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\,dt.$$

Using (4.3) and (4.4), we arrive at

$$\left|\int_0^T b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}(t))\,dt\right| \le 2Cc\,\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))}\left(\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(Q)}+\varepsilon\,\|\nabla\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(Q)}\right).$$

Furthermore, by (4.9)

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \le 2\,\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(Q)}\,\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))}. \tag{4.17}$$

Thus, combining (4.4) and the preceding inequality, we get

$$\left|\int_0^T b(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t),R_\varepsilon\mathbf{w}(t))\,dt\right| \le (2C)^{\frac{3}{2}}\,c\varepsilon\,\|\mathbf{f}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(Q)}\left(\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(Q)}+\varepsilon\,\|\nabla\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(Q)}\right).$$

On the other hand, in view of (4.16) and property (P_2) of Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\langle F_\varepsilon,\mathbf{w}\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{w}\in L^2(0,T;V). \tag{4.18}$$

Further, (4.18) is satisfied in particular for all $\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{D}(0,T;\mathcal{V})$. Thus, by a classical argument (see, e.g., [31, pp.14-15]) we obtain

$$F_\varepsilon = \nabla\overline{p}_\varepsilon$$

where $\overline{p}_\varepsilon\in L^2\left(0,T;L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}\right)$ with

$$\|\overline{p}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} \le c\,\|\nabla\overline{p}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2\left(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^N\right)}. \tag{4.19}$$

Moreover, taking in particular $\mathbf{w}=\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in\mathcal{D}\left(0,T;\mathcal{D}(\Omega_\varepsilon)^N\right)$ in (4.16) leads to

$$\langle\nabla\overline{p}_\varepsilon,\boldsymbol{\varphi}\rangle = \langle\nabla p_\varepsilon,\boldsymbol{\varphi}\rangle.$$

Hence (4.6) follows by the arbitrariness of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$. In view of the estimates for the right hand of (4.16),

$$|\langle\nabla\overline{p}_\varepsilon,\mathbf{w}\rangle| \le c_0\left(\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(Q)}+\varepsilon\,\|\nabla\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(Q)}\right) \tag{4.20}$$

for all $0<\varepsilon<1$ and for all $\mathbf{w}\in L^2\left(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega)^N\right)$, where the constant $c_0>0$ is independent of ε. We deduce that

$$|\langle\nabla\overline{p}_\varepsilon,\mathbf{w}\rangle| \le c_0\,\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2\left(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega)^N\right)} \qquad \left(\mathbf{w}\in L^2\left(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega)^N\right)\right)$$

for all $0<\varepsilon<1$. Hence (4.7) follows (use (4.19)) with an appropriate constant $C>0$. □

4.2. **Homogenization results.** Let

$$W(Y)=\left\{\mathbf{w}\in H^1_{per}(Y)^N:\mathbf{w}=0 \text{ on } Y_s,\ div_y\mathbf{w}=0\right\}.$$

This is a Hilbert space with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{w}\|_{W(Y)}=\left(\int_Y|\nabla_y\mathbf{w}|^2\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\qquad(\mathbf{w}\in W(Y))$$

equivalent to the $H^1_{per}(Y)^N$-norm. Now, we define

$$\mathcal{H}_0\left(Q;L^2_{per}(Z;W(Y))\right)=\left\{\mathbf{u}\in L^2\left(Q;L^2_{per}(Z;W(Y))\right):div\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}=0,\ \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}\cdot\mathbf{n}=0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\times]0,T[\right\}$$

where:

$$\mathbf{n}=\left(n^i\right)_{1\le i\le N} \text{ is the outward unit normal to } \partial\Omega,$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}(x,t)=\int\int_{Y\times Z}\mathbf{u}(x,t,y,\tau)\,dyd\tau\ \ ((x,t)\in Q=\Omega\times]0,T[).$$

Provided with the $L^2\left(Q;L^2_{per}(Z;W(Y))\right)$-norm, $\mathcal{H}_0\left(Q;L^2_{per}(Z;W(Y))\right)$ is a Hilbert space.

We will need the family of vector functions $\left(\boldsymbol{\chi}_j\right)_{1\le j\le N}$ defined, for each fixed $1\le j\le N$, by the variational problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{\chi}_j\in L^2_{per}(Z;W(Y)):\\ \int\int_{Y\times Z}\nabla_y\boldsymbol{\chi}_j\cdot\nabla_y\mathbf{w}dyd\tau=\int\int_{Y\times Z}w^jdyd\tau\\ \text{for all } \mathbf{w}=\left(w^i\right)\in L^2_{per}(Z;W(Y)).\end{array}\right.\tag{4.21}$$

Let $(K_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le N}$ be the matrix defined by

$$K_{ij}=\int\int_{Y\times Z}\chi^j_i(y,\tau)\,dyd\tau.$$

Lemma 4.4. *The matrix (K_{ij}) is symmetric and positive definite.*

Proof. It follows immediately from (4.21) that

$$K_{ij}=\int\int_{Y\times Z}\nabla_y\boldsymbol{\chi}_j\cdot\nabla_y\boldsymbol{\chi}_idyd\tau=\int_Z\left(\boldsymbol{\chi}_j,\boldsymbol{\chi}_i\right)_{W(Y)}d\tau,$$

where $(,)_{W(Y)}$ denotes the inner product associated with the norm $\|.\|_{W(Y)}$ on $W(Y)$. The symmetry property of (K_{ij}) follows at once. Now, we have $\sum_{i,j=1}^N K_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j=\int_Z\left(\mathbf{u}(\xi),\mathbf{u}(\xi)\right)_{W(Y)}d\tau$ for all $\xi=(\xi_i)\in\mathbb{R}^N$, where $\mathbf{u}(\xi)=\sum_{i=1}^N\xi_i\boldsymbol{\chi}_i$. The positivity follows. Let us check the nondegeneracy. Suppose we are given some $\xi=(\xi_i)$ such that $\sum_{i,j=1}^N K_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j=0$. Then, $\mathbf{u}(\xi)=0$. We deduce by (4.21) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N\xi_i\int\int_{Y\times Z}w^idyd\tau=0\tag{4.22}$$

for all $\mathbf{w}=\left(w^i\right)\in L^2_{per}(Z;W(Y))$, and in particular (4.22) holds true for all $\mathbf{w}=1\otimes\mathbf{v}$ with $\mathbf{v}=\left(w^i\right)\in W(Y)$. Choosing in (4.22) $\mathbf{w}=1\otimes\mathbf{v}$ with $\mathbf{v}\in W(Y)$ such that $\int_Y\mathbf{v}dy=\xi$ (see [23, Remark 4.3]) leads to $\xi_j=0$ $(j=1,...,N)$ and so the lemma is proved. □

We are now able to prove the following homogenization theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that the hypotheses of Lemma 4.3 are satisfied and* $\mathbf{f} \in L^2\left(0,T;H^1(\Omega)^N\right)$. *Let* $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ *be the solution of (1.7)-(1.10). Let* $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ *be identified with its extension by zero in* $(\Omega\backslash\Omega_\varepsilon)\times]0,T[$, *and let* $\overline{p}_\varepsilon$ *be defined in Lemma 4.3. Then, as* $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\frac{u_\varepsilon^i}{\varepsilon^2} \to u_0^i \text{ in } L^2(Q)\text{-weak } \Sigma \quad (1 \le i \le N), \tag{4.23}$$

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon^i}{\partial x_j} \to \frac{\partial u_0^i}{\partial y_j} \text{ in } L^2(Q)\text{-weak } \Sigma \quad (1 \le i,j \le N), \tag{4.24}$$

$$\overline{p}_\varepsilon \to p_0 \text{ in } L^2(Q), \tag{4.25}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_0 = \left(u_0^i\right)$ *is uniquely defined by the variational problem*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0\left(Q; L^2_{per}(Z; W(Y))\right): \\ \nu \int_Q \int \int_{Y\times Z} \nabla_y \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla_y \mathbf{v} dx dt dy d\tau = \int_Q \mathbf{f}\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{v}} dx dt \\ \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{H}_0\left(Q; L^2_{per}(Z; W(Y))\right), \end{array}\right. \tag{4.26}$$

and p_0 *is the unique function in* $L^2\left(0,T;L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}\right)$ *such that*

$$\widetilde{u}_0^i = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{K_{ij}}{\nu}\left(f^j - \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial x_j}\right) \qquad (1 \le i \le N). \tag{4.27}$$

Proof. Let us first observe that the existence and unicity of $\mathbf{u}_0$ in (4.26) is trivial (use, e.g., the Lax-Milgram lemma). On the other hand, taking account of $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega\times]0,T[$ and $div\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_0 = 0$, we see that if p_0 lies in $L^2\left(0,T;L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}\right)$ and verifies (4.27), then p_0 satisfies

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} -\sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{K_{ij}}{\nu}\frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f & \text{in } \Omega\times]0,T[, \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{K_{ij}}{\nu}\frac{\partial p_0}{\partial x_j} n^i = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega\times]0,T[, \end{array}\right. \tag{4.28}$$

where:

$$f = -\sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{K_{ij}}{\nu}\frac{\partial f^j}{\partial x_i},$$

$$g = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{K_{ij}}{\nu}\left(f^j|_{\partial\Omega\times]0,T[}\right) n^i.$$

But (4.28) is a Neumann type problem admitting one, and only one, solution p_0 in $L^2\left(0,T;H^1(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}\right)$.

Now, as seen earlier, the sequences $\left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2}\right)_{0<\varepsilon<1}$, $(\overline{p}_\varepsilon)_{0<\varepsilon<1}$, $\left(\frac{\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)_{0<\varepsilon<1}$ and $\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\right)_{0<\varepsilon<1}$ are bounded in the $L^2(Q)$ norm. Thus, given a fundamental sequence E (i.e., E is an ordinary sequence of reals $0 < \varepsilon_n < 1$ such that $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$), by well known compactness results (see in particular [1], [11]) we can extract a subsequence E' from E such that as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, we have (4.23), $\overline{p}_\varepsilon \to p_0$ in $L^2(Q)$-weak and

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon^i}{\partial x_j} \to z_{ij} \text{ in } L^2(Q)\text{-weak } \Sigma \quad (1 \le i,j \le N), \tag{4.29}$$

where u_0^i, $z_{ij} \in L^2\left(Q; L^2_{per}\left(Z; L^2_{per}\left(Y\right)\right)\right)$ and $p_0 \in L^2\left(0,T; L^2\left(\Omega\right)/\mathbb{R}\right)$. But based on (4.20), if $\left(\mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\right)_{\varepsilon\in E'}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{W}\left(0,T\right)$ such that $\mathbf{w}_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{w}$ in $\mathcal{W}\left(0,T\right)$-weak as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, then

(4.30)

$$\left|\langle \nabla \overline{p}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\rangle - \langle \nabla p_0, \mathbf{w}\rangle\right| \leq c\left(\left\|\mathbf{w}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{w}\right\|_{L^2(Q)} + \varepsilon\left\|\nabla \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon - \nabla \mathbf{w}\right\|_{L^2(Q)}\right) + \left|\langle \nabla \overline{p}_\varepsilon - \nabla p_0, \mathbf{w}\rangle\right|,$$

and we see that the right hand of (4.30) tends to zero as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, since $\nabla \overline{p}_\varepsilon \to \nabla p_0$ in $L^2\left(0,T; H^{-1}\left(\Omega\right)^N\right)$-weak and $\mathbf{w}_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{w}$ in $L^2\left(Q\right)^N$ as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$ ($\mathcal{W}\left(0,T\right)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2\left(Q\right)^N$). Consequently, $\nabla \overline{p}_\varepsilon \to \nabla p_0$ in $L^2\left(0,T; H^{-1}\left(\Omega\right)^N\right)$-strong as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. Thus by (4.19) we see that the sequence $\left(\overline{p}_\varepsilon\right)_{\varepsilon\in E'}$ actually strongly converges in $L^2\left(Q\right)$ to p_0, so that (4.25) holds when $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$.

The next point is to check that $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2\left(Q; L^2_{per}\left(Z; H^1_{per}\left(Y\right)^N\right)\right)$ with

$$\frac{\partial u_0^i}{\partial y_j} = z_{ij} \qquad \left(1 \leq i,j \leq N\right). \tag{4.31}$$

To do this, consider a function $\psi : Q \times \mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau \to \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$\psi\left(x,t,y,\tau\right) = \varphi\left(x,t\right)\Phi\left(y,\tau\right) \qquad \left((x,t) \in Q,\ (y,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}\right) \tag{4.32}$$

with $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(Q\right)$, $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}\left(Y \times Z\right) = \mathcal{C}_{per}\left(Y \times Z\right) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}_\tau\right)$.
We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_Q \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon^i}{\partial x_j}\psi^\varepsilon dxdt &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_Q u_\varepsilon^i \frac{\partial \psi^\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} dxdt \\
&= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_Q u_\varepsilon^i \left[\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j}\right)^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_j}\right)^\varepsilon\right] dxdt \\
&= -\int_Q \frac{u_\varepsilon^i}{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j}\right)^\varepsilon dxdt - \int_Q \frac{u_\varepsilon^i}{\varepsilon^2}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_j}\right)^\varepsilon dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$ and recalling (4.23) and (4.29), we are quickly led to

$$\frac{\partial u_0^i\left(x,t,.,.\right)}{\partial y_j} = z_{ij}\left(x,t,.,.\right) \text{ a.e. in } (x,t) \in Q \qquad \left(1 \leq i,j \leq N\right),$$

which shows that $\mathbf{u}_0$ belongs to $L^2\left(Q; L^2_{per}\left(Z; H^1_{per}\left(Y\right)^N\right)\right)$ with (4.31) hence (4.24) (as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$).

Now, let us check that $\mathbf{u}_0\left(x,t,.,.\right) = 0$ in $Y_s \times Z$ for almost all $(x,t) \in Q$. We consider ψ as in (4.32) such that $\Phi = 0$ in $Y_f \times Z$. As $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, (4.23) yields

$$0 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_Q u_\varepsilon^i \psi^\varepsilon dxdt \to \int_Q \int\int_{Y_s\times Z} u_0^i\left(x,t,y,\tau\right)\varphi\left(x,t\right)\Phi\left(y,\tau\right) dxdtdyd\tau.$$

Consequently

$$\int\int_{Y_s\times Z} u_0^i\left(x,t,y,\tau\right)\Phi\left(y,\tau\right) dyd\tau \text{ a.e. in } (x,t) \in Q \quad \left(1 \leq i \leq N\right)$$

(since φ is arbitrary) and for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}\left(Y \times Z\right)$ verifying $\Phi = 0$ in $Y_f \times Z$. Thus, $\mathbf{u}_0\left(x,t,.,.\right) = 0$ in $Y_s \times Z$ a.e. in $(x,t) \in Q$. Furthermore, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(Q\right)$ and

$w \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{per}(Y \times Z)$. According to (4.23), we have as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_Q \frac{u^i_\varepsilon(x,t)}{\varepsilon^2} \varphi(x,t) \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_i}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) dxdt \to \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_Q \int\int_{Y\times Z} u^i_0 \varphi \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_i} dxdtdyd\tau.$$

But the lefthand side reduces to the term

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_Q \frac{u^i_\varepsilon(x,t)}{\varepsilon} w\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}(x,t)\, dxdt$$

which goes to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Hence, by the arbitrariness of φ,

$$\int\int_{Y\times Z} div_y \mathbf{u}_0(x,.)\, w dyd\tau = 0 \qquad \text{a.e. in } (x,t) \in Q,$$

and that for any $w \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{per}(Y \times Z)$. Therefore $div_y \mathbf{u}_0(x,t,.,.) = 0$ a.e. in $(x,t) \in Q$. Hence $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2\left(Q; L^2_{per}(Z; W(Y))\right)$.

Let us verify that $\mathbf{u}_0$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_0\left(Q; L^2_{per}(Z; W(Y))\right)$. Clearly as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\frac{\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(Q)^N\text{-weak}.$$

Since the operator $\mathbf{u} \to div\mathbf{u}$ sends continuously $L^2\left(0,T; L^2(\Omega)^N\right)$ into $L^2\left(0,T; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$, it follows that

$$\frac{div\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} \to div\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2\left(0,T; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)\text{-weak}.$$

Hence $div\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_0 = 0$. On the other hand, by the Stokes formula we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_Q \frac{u^i_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} \theta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} dxdt = 0$$

for all $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(]0,T[)$ and all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$. Hence, on letting $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, it follows

$$\int_\Omega \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_0(x,t) \cdot \nabla\varphi(x)\, dx = 0 \text{ a.e. in } t \in]0,T[$$

(since θ is arbitrary) for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$. This shows that $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times]0,T[$ (use the Stokes formula) and so $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0\left(Q; L^2_{per}(Z; W(Y))\right)$.

Finally, if we prove that $\mathbf{u}_0$ satisfies the variational equation in (4.26) and p_0 satisfies (4.27) then, by virtue of the unicity in (4.26) and (4.27), it will follow that (4.23)-(4.25) hold not only as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$ but also as $E \ni \varepsilon \to 0$ and further as $0 < \varepsilon \to 0$, owing to the arbitrariness of E; and so the proof will be complete.

For this purpose, we introduce the space

$$\mathcal{H}\left(Q; L^2_{per}(Z; W(Y))\right) = \left\{\mathbf{u} \in L^2\left(Q; L^2_{per}(Z; W(Y))\right) : div\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} \in L^2(Q),\ \widetilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times]0,T[\right\},$$

which is a Hilbert space with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{H}\left(Q; L^2_{per}(Z; W(Y))\right)} = \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^2\left(Q; L^2_{per}(Z; W(Y))\right)} + \|div\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}\|^2_{L^2(Q)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Next, let $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{per}(Z) \otimes W(Y)$. We recall the standard notation

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^\varepsilon(x,t) = \mathbf{\Phi}\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \qquad \text{for} \quad (x,t) \in Q,$$

and the formulas

$$\nabla\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}=\left(\nabla_{x}\mathbf{\Phi}\right)^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla_{y}\mathbf{\Phi}\right)^{\varepsilon}$$

$$div\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}=\left(div_{x}\mathbf{\Phi}\right)^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(div_{y}\mathbf{\Phi}\right)^{\varepsilon}.$$

Having made this point, take now in (4.15) the particular test function $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}(t)$. This yields

$$\int_{Q}\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}dxdt+\nu\int_{Q}\nabla\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\cdot\left(\nabla_{x}\mathbf{\Phi}\right)^{\varepsilon}dxdt+\nu\int_{Q}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\nabla\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\cdot\left(\nabla_{y}\mathbf{\Phi}\right)^{\varepsilon}dxdt$$

$$+\int_{0}^{T}b\left(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}\left(t\right)\right)dt-\int_{Q}\overline{p}_{\varepsilon}\left(div_{x}\mathbf{\Phi}\right)^{\varepsilon}dxdt=\int_{Q}\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}dxdt.$$

The aim is to pass to the limit as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to0$. In view of the inequalities in (4.4), we clearly have

$$\int_{Q}\nabla\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\cdot\left(\nabla_{x}\mathbf{\Phi}\right)^{\varepsilon}dxdt\to0\text{ and }\int_{Q}\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}dxdt\to0$$

as $0<\varepsilon\to0$. On the other hand, using the equality

$$b\left(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}\left(t\right)\right)=-b\left(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right)\right)$$

and (2.7) (of Lemma 2.1), we have

$$\left|\int_{0}^{T}b\left(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}\left(t\right)\right)dt\right|\leq2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|\nabla\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}\left(t\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}dt$$

$$\leq2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}\left\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}\left\|\nabla\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}.$$

Hence, by (4.4) and (4.17) we get

$$\left|\int_{0}^{T}b\left(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}\left(t\right)\right)dt\right|\leq K\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}$$

where $K>0$ is a constant independent of ε. But

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon<1}\varepsilon\left\|\nabla\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}<\infty.$$

Therefore, as $0<\varepsilon\to0$

$$\int_{0}^{T}b\left(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),\mathbf{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}\left(t\right)\right)dt\to0.$$

Finally, by (4.23)-(4.25), one quickly arrives at

$$\nu\int_{Q}\int\int_{Y\times Z}\nabla_{y}\mathbf{u}_{0}\cdot\nabla_{y}\mathbf{\Phi}dxdtdyd\tau-\int_{Q}p_{0}div\widetilde{\mathbf{\Phi}}dxdt=\int_{Q}\mathbf{f}\cdot\widetilde{\mathbf{\Phi}}dxdt\tag{4.33}$$

and that for any $\mathbf{\Phi}\in\mathcal{D}\left(Q\right)\otimes\mathcal{C}_{per}^{\infty}\left(Z\right)\otimes W\left(Y\right)$. Recalling that $\mathcal{D}\left(Q\right)\otimes\mathcal{C}_{per}^{\infty}\left(Z\right)\otimes W\left(Y\right)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}\left(Q;L_{per}^{2}\left(Z;W\left(Y\right)\right)\right)$ (see [20]), (4.33) holds for all $\mathbf{\Phi}\in\mathcal{H}\left(Q;L_{per}^{2}\left(Z;W\left(Y\right)\right)\right)$. Therefore, the variational equation in (4.23) follows at once by taking in particular $\mathbf{\Phi}=\mathbf{v}$ with $\mathbf{v}\in\mathcal{H}_{0}\left(Q;L_{per}^{2}\left(Z;W\left(Y\right)\right)\right)$. Thus, the proof is complete once (4.27) is established. To achieve this, let $1\leq j\leq N$ be

arbitrary fixed. Take in (4.33) $\mathbf{\Phi} = \varphi \otimes \boldsymbol{\chi}_j$, where $\boldsymbol{\chi}_j$ is defined by (4.21) and φ is freely fixed in $\mathcal{D}(Q)$. Then it is an easy matter to arrive at

$$\nu \int \int_{Y \times Z} \nabla_y \mathbf{u}_0(x,t) \cdot \nabla_y \boldsymbol{\chi}_j dy + \sum_{i=1}^{N} K_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial p_0}{\partial x_i}(x,t) - f^i(x,t) \right) = 0$$

a.e. in $(x,t) \in Q$. But

$$\int \int_{Y \times Z} \nabla_y \mathbf{u}_0(x,t) \cdot \nabla_y \boldsymbol{\chi}_j dy = \widetilde{u}_0^j(x,t)$$

as is immediate by taking in (4.21) $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u}_0(x,t)$ for fixed $(x,t) \in Q$. Hence (4.27) follows. The theorem is proved. □

Conclusion. In our study, we have been limited in spatial dimension $N = 2$. It would be interesting to investigate the case $N = 3$, costumary used in physics. Unfurtunately, we come up against the lack of uniqueness for Non-stationary Navier-Stokes equations in dimension $N \geq 3$. Moreover, for flows in porous media, we have been interested uniquely for the periodic case, the problem beyond the periodic setting being not only to be formulated mathematically, but to be justified by physics.

However, one convergence theorem has been proved for each problem, and we have derived the macroscopic homogenized model.

References

[1] G. Allaire, Homogenization and two-scale convergence, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **23** (1992), 1482-1518.

[2] G. Allaire, Homogenization of the Stokes flow in a connected porous medium, Asymptot. Anal., **2** (1989), 203-222.

[3] G. Allaire, Homogenization of the Navier-Stokes equations in open sets perforated with tiny holes I-II, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **113** (1991), 261-298.

[4] A. Bensoussan, J.L. Lions, G. Papanicolaou, Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures, North-Holland, Amsterdam,1978.

[5] H.J. Choe, H. Kim, Homogenization of the non-stationary Stokes equations with periodic viscosity, J. Korean Math. Soc. 46 (2009), No 5, pp. 1041-1069.

[6] C. Conca, On the Application of Homogenization theory to a class of problems arising in Fluids Mechanics, J. Math. pures et appl. 64, 1987, p. 31 à 75.

[7] A. Guichardet, Analyse Harmonique commutative, Dunod, Paris, 1968.

[8] J.B. Keller, Darcy's law for flow in porous media and the two-space method, Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 54, Dekker, New York, 1980.

[9] R. Larsen, Banach algebras, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.

[10] J.L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Dunod, Paris, 1969.

[11] D. Lukkassen, G. Nguetseng, P. Wall, Two scale convergence, International journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Vol.2, No.1, 2002, 35-86.

[12] A. Mikelic and L. Paoli, Homogenization of the inviscid incompressible fluid flow through a 2D porous medium, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 127, No7 (1999), 2019-2028.

[13] A.K. Nandakumaran, Steady and evolution Stokes equations in porous media with nonhomogeneous boundary data: a homogenization process, Diff. Int. Equ. **5 (1)** (1992)73-93.

[14] G. Nguetseng, A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **20** (1989), 608-623.

[15] G. Nguetseng, Almost periodic homogenization: Asymptotic analysis of a second order elliptic equation, Department of Mathematics, University of Yaounde 1, July 2000.

[16] G. Nguetseng, Sigma-convergence of parabolic differential operators, Multiple scales problems in biomathematics, mechanics, physics and numerics, Gakuto inter. ser., Mathematical Sciences and Applications 31, 93-132 (2009).

[17] G. Nguetseng, Homogenization Structures and applications I, Zeit. Anal. Anwend. **22** (2003) 73-107.
[18] G. Nguetseng, Homogenization Structures and applications II, Z. Anal. Anwendungen **23** (2004) 482-508.
[19] G. Nguetseng, Deterministic homogenization of a semilinear elliptic partial differential equation of order $2m$, Maths. Reports, **8 (58)**, No2 (2006), 167-195.
[20] G. Nguetseng, Asymptotic analysis for a stiff variational problem arising in mechanics, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **21**, No6 (1990), 1394-1414.
[21] G. Nguetseng, H. Nnang, Homogenization of nonlinear monotone operators beyond the periodic setting. Electron. J. Diff. Eqns. Vol. 2003 (2003), pp. 1-24.
[22] G. Nguetseng, L. Signing, Sigma-convergence of stationary Navier-Stokes type equations. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. **2009** (2009), No. 74, pp. 1-18.
[23] G. Nguetseng, L. Signing, Deterministic homogenization of stationary Navier-Stokes type equations, Multiscale Problems, Theory, Numerical Approximation and Applications (2011): pp.70-108.
[24] G. Nguetseng, J.L. Woukeng, Deterministic homogenization of parabolic monotone operators with time dependent coefficients. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. **2004** (2004), No. 82, pp. 1-23.
[25] G. Nguetseng, J.L. Woukeng, Σ-convergence of nonlinear parabolic operators, Nonlinear Analysis **66** (2007) 968-1004.
[26] E. Sanchez-Palencia, Nonhomogeneous Media and Vibration Theory, Lecture Notes in Physics, 127, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
[27] L. Signing, Two-scale convergence of unsteady Stokes type equations, ArXiv: 1101.2781v1, math.AP, 14 Jan 2011.
[28] L. Tartar, Incompressible fluid flow in Porous Medium, Convergence of the homogenization process, Appendix to the Lecture Notes in Physics 127, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
[29] L. Tartar, Problèmes d'homogénéisation dans les équations aux dérivées partielles, Cours Peccot, Collège de France, 1977.
[30] L. Tartar, Topics in Nonlinear Analysis, Publications mathématiques d'Orsay 78.13, Université de Paris-Sud (1978).
[31] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes Equations, North-Holland, 1979.
[32] V.V. Zhikov, On two-scale convergence, J. Math. Sc., **120**, No3 (2004), 1328-1352.

University of Ngaoundere, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, P.O.Box 454 Ngaoundere (Cameroon)
E-mail address: lsigning@uy1.uninet.cm